

April 20, 1979

Letter from R.J Alston (Joint Nuclear Unit) to P.R. Fearn (British Embassy, Islamabad), 'Pakistan Nuclear Programme'

Citation:

"Letter from R.J Alston (Joint Nuclear Unit) to P.R. Fearn (British Embassy, Islamabad), 'Pakistan Nuclear Programme'", April 20, 1979, Wilson Center Digital Archive, The National Archive of the UK, FCO 96/952. Contributed by Malcolm Craig. https://wilson-center-digital-archive.dvincitest.com/document/280715

Summary:

This document is a letter from Robert Alston of the FCO's Joint Nuclear Unit, to Mr. P.R. Fearn at the British Embassy in Islamabad. In the letter, Alston discusses the recent visit of a US State Department official, Thomas Pickering, to the Foreign Office in London.

Credits:

This document was made possible with support from Carnegie Corporation of New York (CCNY)

Original Language:

English

Contents:

Original Scan

4. The Americans would also be seeking Pakistani assurances that they would fulfill their 1976 safeguards agreement with the IAKA in respect of their three reprocessing sites, and that they would import no reprocessing equipment. They would also be seeking formal repetition of assurances that no development work on nuclear weapons was taking place.

T MILLENIA



Wilson Center Digital Archive

- 5. If these assurances were received, the Administration would recommend a waiver of the Symington amendment. They would also offer to explore with the Pakistanis what more could be done under the 1959 agreement to meet Pakistan's security concerns. (In reply to a question Pickering said that a dialogue on this would be a useful way both of seeking a clear Pakistani statement of what their concerns were, and giving the Americans a chance to comment on them.) The Administration were reasonably confident that they could carry Congress on this. Congress was not anxious to find itself saddled with the responsibility of preventing the Administration from starting a dialogue on Pakistan's security concerns and thereby perhaps losing Fakistan for the West. They thought that they could convince Congress that monitoring of imports plus intelligence sources would enable the Americans to monitor for up to a year whether the Pakistanis were keeping their assurances.
- 6. There would of course need to be a reasonable chance that longer term arrangements could be put into place subsequently. This would be the second phase of the American plan. Thinking on this was very much less close to firm decisions in Washington. They had concluded that they should not attempt to burden the dialogue with the Pakistanis with formal verification arrangements at present. But they would make it clear that at a later stage they would want to develop proposals for regional political arrangements which (either immediately or subsequently) would involve verification.
- 7. After considering the points put by Hummel on 9 April Zia had agreed to send Agha Shahi and a team to Washington on D May to open the dialogue under the security agreement. Pickering thought it unlikely that Zia would give a substantive answer on the nuclear proposals until this visit had taken place. Zia's strategy seemed likely to be that of making maximum progress on the security issue and doing as little as possible on the nuclear issue. The American strategy would be the converse. There was no evidence that there was any significant opposition to nuclear weapons in the Fakistani establishment though (like us) the Americans knew relatively little about discussion there.
- 8. Re-emphasising that everything was still open on the long term issues, Pickering sketched a number of points on which thinking was continuing in Washington;
- (a) there was a broad consensus that the Americans should try to pin down the Fakistanis before attempting to bring in the Indians;
- (b) they were sketching out a possible bilateral Indolakistan agreement on no building of nuclear weapons (possibly also including a freeze on any enrichment acitivity in India);
- (c) there was a feeling that additional conventional arms to those offered last November would be required. The issue of whether these should be sold on non-commercial terms was giving "great agony". There were

/verv



very conflicting considerations relating to the balance with India and America's relations with the latter, regional security, and avoiding too obviously buying the Pakistanis off;

- (d) in reply to a question about American reactions to the kind of ideas in Tony Parson's letter to the Ambassador, of 6 April, Pickering said that the Americans shared our concerns about the need to take account of India's worries about China, and to avoid singling out India and Pakistan as "bad boys". Their current thinking on the China point was to suggest something like Protocol II to the Treaty of Tlatelolco. This might not be enough for the Indians, but the Americans thought it would be unrealistic to expect the Chinese to stop nuclear tests. On the geographical scope of a regional arrangement, the Americans would be flexible if the Indians wanted it extended;
- (e) discussion was going on with Congress on ways in which America's bilateral relationship with India could be brought in A possible basis was year by year continuation of supplies provided that India continued the dialogue on safeguards, accepted a CTB, and was prepared to participate in a regional agreement;
- (f) some thought was also being given to "way out" ideas, such as the solution of border disputes, non-aggression pacts and arms limitation in South Asia;
- (g) UN scenarios were also being looked at with particular attention to the Group of 77 and possible awkward implications for parallel situations in South Africa and Israel.
- Arab links Pickering said that the Australians had "fragmentary" evidence about Libyan financial help, and there was some conjecture about Iraq. It was pretty clear that the Pakistanis were financing their centrifuge programme (about \$10m per year) from Saudi money and that the Saudis were aware of this. It was not however clear that the Saudi money had been specifically advanced for that purpose. The Saudis had made disapproving noises about Pakistan's nuclear programme in the context of the reprocessing deal. The American Ambassador in Jedda thought that they might be willing to put down another marker but were unlikely to want to go so far as to threaten withdrawal of financial assistance.
- 10. Pickering confirmed that the Americans had been in touch with the Chinese who also claimed to have struck a note of disapproval with the Pakistanis but declined to be more specific. The Americans had discussed this problem with the Russians only in the most general terms. We had some discussion with Pickering on why the Russians had not reacted to the publicity about the Pakistani programme. Pickering said that recent evidence suggested indeed that quite friendly contacts had recently taken place between the Russians and Pakistanis. A number of reasens were put forward why the Russians might have been expected to react. They could embarrass certain Western Governments and the Chinese. They could please the Indians. They could strike a

/morel

Original Scan



had probably so far proved more attractive - Pakistan had left CENTO and had been reasonably receptive to Russian pressure over Afghanistan. For the moment therefore the more attractive option for the Russians was to keep open their links with Zia. (It would be useful to know whether Moscow share this analysis and whether there has indeed been any Russian comment on this issue.)

11. On American-Indian contacts Pickering said that Vajpayee would be in Washington next week. The Americans proposed to say (as we had) that they were approaching the problem first through trying to control supplies to Pakistan. They were having some bilateral contacts with Pakistan and had some regional proposals under consideration but not yet ready to put forward. In reply to a question Pekering said that they need to handle this in such a way as to strengthen Desai and his few supporters on this issue against those who took a more hawkish line. The Americans would also try to keep the dialogue going on nuclear supplies (not least to avoid the NRC becoming still more difficult on future shipments). They had commissioned a paper from the IAEA on the impact of safeguards on installations of the Indian type in an effort to dispose of the technical arguments and isolate the essentially political nature of the Indian position. Some thought was also being given to the gradual introduction of safeguards on India's installations.

12. In conclusion Pickering said that he rated the chances of success with the freeze initiative in nearer 1 in 20 than 15 in 20. Some thought would also have to be given to moves in the event of a negative response. Punitive measures were one option. (He thought that the timing of the forthcoming aid consortium meeting could make it a useful opportunity to give signs of unhappiness.) Further thought would have to be given to the possible roles of the Russians and Chinese, and the Americans would undoubtedly want to talk further to us and Fakistan's principal aid donors and trading partners.

13 Anneh of the above is described information for an an well as the Americans. Particular case is accessed as to several it ordered from the field of the court of the court

Your wer Asker Just - R J Alaton Unit.