# March 9, 1962

#### Memorandum of Telephone Message from Foy D. Kohler to Paul H. Nitze and Roswell L. Gilpatric

### Citation:

"Memorandum of Telephone Message from Foy D. Kohler to Paul H. Nitze and Roswell L. Gilpatric", March 9, 1962, Wilson Center Digital Archive, National Archives, Record Group 59, Bureau of European Affairs NATO and Atlantic Politico-Military Affairs, Records Relating to NATO, 1959-1966, box 7, Def 12 Nuclear France 1962. Obtained and contributed by William Burr and included in NPIHP Research Update #2. https://wilson-center-digital-archive.dvincitest.com/document/110243

### Summary:

Instructions for Roswell Gilpatric and Paul Nitze from Secretary of State Rusk for negotiations with the French. Among the topics which the US representatives should not discuss are missile sharing and a common Western nuclear force.

# **Original Language:**

English

# **Contents:**

Original Scan

Wilson Center Digital Archive

Original Scan

774	DECLASSIFIED
	Authority MAD 989 733
	ST TJ NARA DEL 8/15/00

#### TELEPHONE MESSAGE FROM MR. KOHLER TO MESSRS. MITZE AND GILPATRIC

March 9, 1962

The Secretary has authorized me to say that:

(1) The US Government should not discuss with the French provision of missiles, missile components or missile technology which would be helpful to the French in developing a nuclear delivery missile capability even if the missiles, missile components and missile technology were ostensibly being sought for non-nuclear purposes.

(2) The US Covernment should not discuss with the French Government the possibility of these two governments directing their representatives to meet bilaterally to begin working out the problems connected with a common Western nuclear force.

(3) The Secretary understands that this may endanger the success of the Lavaud visit and prevent consummation of an arrangement with the French which would give us balance of payments relief. He believes that the larger objectives of our alliance policy outweigh these considerations and that even the limited steps proposed above would place these larger objectives in jeopardy since they would be read by the French and other countries as a clear sign that we were changing course.

ce: Mr. Bundy Mr. Ball Mr. Gilpetric Mr. Nitze

frest ac and

Essie policy

In bland har be bet bland har