

# **April 24, 1952**

## Office of Policy Coordination Dissents from State Department Views on Radio Free Europe

### Citation:

"Office of Policy Coordination Dissents from State Department Views on Radio Free Europe", April 24, 1952, Wilson Center Digital Archive, Obtained and contributed to CWIHP by A. Ross Johnson. Cited in his book Radio Free Europe and Radio Liberty. CIA mandatory declassification review document number C05458950. https://wilson-center-digital-archive.dvincitest.com/document/114383

## **Summary:**

Frank Wisner in a memorandum to Robert Joyce dissents from State Department criticism that exile participation on Radio Free Europe is minimal and rejects State's proposal that East European National Councils should themselves organize broadcasts.

### **Credits:**

This document was made possible with support from Blavatnik Family Foundation

## **Original Language:**

English

#### **Contents:**

Original Scan

C05458950

Original Scan

24Apr 52

APPROVED FOR RELEASE DATE: 16-Feb-2011

REMODERAL FOR MR. ROBERT F. JOYCE foller cleaning Staff Department of State

Susy sold

State Department Cener on "The Functions of MOFE B24 E2E4

- 1. Thank you for forwarding to us the State Department meanrandom on the functions of NCFR and RFB. I can earry that there has been a delay in replying to it. The chief reason has been our effort to obtain a written statement of position from the PCFE Descrites Heart, and particularly from Enbort Lang, the Mirector of RFT. After long considering the antice, hang has expressed a desire to talk personally with you about the subject, and I understand that wince the elapsed time he had and opportunity for a conference with you on the problem. I agree with the view you empressed to him - that there ought to be turther conferences but nevertheless want you to know our own views without further delar.
- 2. I think that there is a basic element of alsumicrostanding in the State Department paper. Last is the idea which acces to se to be implicit in it that the exiles de not broadcast. In fact, the critics do all the broadcasting. By and large, these men are not political figures but technicians, whiters and notation having comewhat the mano training and point of view as those who do similar unck for American componius. Frequently, also, calle leaders from within the Kational Commils are asked to make personal breshingsto. this practice will continue. All in all, so great is the crite domination of his broadcasts that in many instances these broadcasts have taken an the tenn and relocation of indigenous radio in the countries to which they are nimed. Wherever pushble, that is the aim of NYA - to compute within each country as an indigenous mathemal station.
- 3. In skiltion, even allowing, however, for this misunderstanding, as I bollove it to be, the State Department paper presents a point of view with which I wants nave. This is that the Be Monel Combatta could be provided to please in charge of EFE. I am almost

Capy from NCFC folder#2

Mar-June 1952

Joh 60-748 fax1

certain they could not be. He statement made by ... To line of policy that RFE could pursue, he suggestion or propagated line that would follow could be done in the name of the Estimal Councils so have as the manheave of much Council are inexpelle of respiring a proup decision or of resching a sejectly decision which the minority would not attempt to subvert. It seems to se that there is only historical value in talking about what the original concept of the matienal Councils was. The concept has changed, and the change was necessary to correspond to the fact. The fact is the inability of ench instinant Council to speak as a single volce.

h. Without further discussion, therefore, I am unprepared to ank MTO to make a major change in its policy such as the State Department paper suggests. I understand that you and Mr. Breden have agreed with Addiral Miller and Mr. Lung to discuss this problem thoroughly with the people who are concerned in the State Department and I hope that after these discussions we say reach an agreement on the matters which the paper raises.

PRANK G. WINER

CIO:1XB/eh (2h Apr 52)

Orig & 1 - Addressee

2 cc - 5.R. (1 for DD/P)

1 cc - ADPC

1 cc - CIO

1 cc - IO/TONIC

1 cc - FF/OPC

1 cc - SE/OPC

2 cc - RI

SECRET

V