

February 6, 1959

Ambassador Llewellyn Thompson's Views of Radio Liberty

Citation:

"Ambassador Llewellyn Thompson's Views of Radio Liberty", February 6, 1959, Wilson Center Digital Archive, Obtained and contributed to CWIHP by A. Ross Johnson. Referenced Ch3 p127, Ch6 p172 in his book Radio Free Europe and Radio Liberty, CIA mandatory declassification review document number C01441029. <https://wilson-center-digital-archive.dvincitest.com/document/115027>

Summary:

Ambassador Llewellyn Thompson forwards to Washington his views on Radio Liberation, following discussions in the Moscow Embassy with members of the US Advisory Committee on Information.

Credits:

This document was made possible with support from Blavatnik Family Foundation

Original Language:

English

Contents:

Original Scan

COPY

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

SECRET

Control 3827
Rec'd February 6, 1959

FROM: MOSCOW

TO: Secretary of State

NO: 1560, February 6, 5 pm

LIMIT DISTRIBUTION

DEPTEL 1197

STATE DEPT. DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW
 Review Class in Change to _____
 Declassify in part and excise as shown
 () () ()
 Classify After _____
 With concurrence 2/11 (not)(obtained)
 by ja Date 4/27/10

I HAD SEVERAL LONG INFORMAL TALKS WITH MAY AND OTHER MEMBERS HIS DELEGATION. WHILE I DO NOT RECALL SPECIFICALLY THAT SUBJECTS RADIO FREE EUROPE AND RADIO LIBERATION WERE RAISED IT IS QUITE POSSIBLE MATTER WAS DISCUSSED. I DID HAVE INFORMAL DISCUSSION WITH PHILIP REED WHO I BELIEVE IS ALSO MEMBER ADVISORY COMMISSION. FOLLOWING ARE MY VIEWS AND THOSE OF EMBASSY GENERALLY WHICH WERE DOUBTLESS REFLECTED IN THESE DISCUSSIONS.

BECAUSE OF THEIR WIDE LANGUAGE COVERAGE THESE BROADCASTS AT PRESENT TIME IN LIGHT OF OUR CURRENT RELATIONS WITH SOVIET UNION CONSTITUTE USEFUL INSTRUMENT. BROADCASTS ARE SO SUCCESSFULLY JAMMED IN MOSCOW HOWEVER THAT EMBASSY HAS LITTLE OPPORTUNITY TO JUDGE THEM. WE UNDOUBTEDLY PAY A PRICE FOR WHATEVER THEY ACCOMPLISH SINCE EMBASSY TRAVELLERS HAVE RECEIVED EXPRESSIONS OF RESENTMENT ON PART OF SOVIET LISTENERS TO THEIR GENERAL LINE. MOREOVER RL BROADCASTS PROBABLY HURT VOA REPUTATION SINCE FEW SOVIET LISTENERS WOULD BISTRINGUISH BETWEEN THEM. IN MY VIEW PROBABLY MORE IMPORTANT CONSIDERATION IS SUPPORT THESE BROADCASTS GIVE THOSE ELEMENTS IN SOVIET REGIME THAT DOUBTLESS ARGUE THAT BASIC US OBJECTIVE IS OVERTHROW OF SOVIET REGIME. DEPARTMENT WILL RECALL THAT KHRUSHCHEV HAS ON MANY OCCASIONS CITED KERSTEN AMENDMENT AND ALLEGED US SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITIES AS ONE OF CHIEF REASONS FOR BAD US-SOVIET RELATIONS. I PRESUME QUOTATIONS FROM THESE BROADCASTS COULD BE USED TO SUPPORT THIS POINT OF VIEW. I HAVE HOWEVER ALWAYS TAKEN VIEW THAT FOR PRESENT THESE BROADCASTS SHOULD BE CONTINUED IN BUT THAT WE SHOULD BE PREPARED IN ANY BASIC OR EVEN PARTIAL NEGOTIATION AND SETTLEMENT WITH SOVIET UNION TO DISCONTINUE THEM IN RETURN FOR SOVIET CONCESSIONS SUCH AS CESSATION OF JAMMING. I REALIZE THIS IS DIFFICULT IN VIEW OF OUR POSITION THAT US GOVERNMENT HAS NO CONNECTION WITH THESE BROADCASTS BUT BELIEVE SOVIETS WOULD BE SATISFIED BY OUR UNDERTAKING TO USE OUR INFLUENCE TO SEE THAT THEY ARE DISCONTINUED IF THIS IN FACT HAPPENED. I ALSO REALIZE THAT ONCE THESE FACILITIES WERE DISMANTLED SOVIETS COULD RESUME JAMMING BUT BELIEVE IF THIS AGREEMENT WERE PART OF A GENERAL SETTLEMENT IN THIS FIELD SUCH AS WAS ENVISAGED AT GENEVA SUMMIT AND SUBSEQUENT FOREIGN MINISTERS' CONFERENCES, IT WOULD BE WORTH TAKING THIS RISK. I REPEAT HOWEVER THAT I WOULD NOT BE DISPOSED TO RECOMMEND OUR UNILATERAL DISCONTINUANCE OF THESE BROADCASTS DESPITE REAL DOUBTS AS TO WHAT THEY ACTUALLY ACCOMPLISH.

THOMPSON

CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO
DECLASSIFICATION AND/OR RELEASE
OF THIS DOCUMENT MARCH 2009

SECRET

OFF 42 JOB 78-02727R
BOX 15 FOLDER 1

84

32

CONFIDENTIAL Department of State

SECRET
Classification

FROM: Moscow
TO: Secretary of State
NO: 1360, FEBRUARY 6, 5 PM

BACKGROUND USE ONLY

LIMIT DISTRIBUTION

DEPTEL 1197

HR
SERG
SIC
E
USTA
CIA

I HAD SEVERAL LONG INFORMAL TALKS WITH MAY AND OTHER MEMBERS HIS DELEGATION. WHILE I DO NOT RECALL SPECIFICALLY THAT SUBJECTS RADIO FREE EUROPE AND RADIO LIBERATION WERE RAISED IT IS QUITE POSSIBLE MATTER WAS DISCUSSED. I DID HAVE INFORMAL DISCUSSION WITH PHILIP REED WHO I BELIEVE IS ALSO MEMBER ADVISORY COMMISSION. FOLLOWING ARE MY VIEWS AND THOSE OF EMBASSY GENERALLY WHICH WERE DOUBTLESS REFLECTED IN THESE DISCUSSIONS.

BECAUSE OF THEIR WIDE LANGUAGE COVERAGE THESE BROADCASTS AT PRESENT TIME IN LIGHT OF OUR CURRENT RELATIONS WITH SOVIET UNION CONSTITUTE USEFUL INSTRUMENT. BROADCASTS ARE SO SUCCESSFULLY JAMMED IN MOSCOW HOWEVER THAT EMBASSY HAS LITTLE OPPORTUNITY TO JUDGE THEM. WE UNDOUBTEDLY PAY A PRICE FOR WHATEVER THEY ACCOMPLISH SINCE EMBASSY TRAVELLERS HAVE RECEIVED EXPRESSIONS OF RESENTMENT ON PART OF SOVIET LISTENERS TO THEIR GENERAL LINE. MOREOVER NO BROADCASTS PROBABLY HURRY YOUR REPUTATION SINCE FEW SOVIET LISTENERS WOULD DISTINGUISH BETWEEN THEM. IN MY VIEW PROBABLY MORE IMPORTANT CONSIDERATION IS SUPPORT THESE BROADCASTS GIVE ENCOURAGEMENT IN SOVIET REGIME THAT DOUBTLESS ARGUE THAT BASIS OF OBJECTIVE IS OVERTHROW OF SOVIET REGIME. DEPARTMENT WILL RECALL THAT KHRUSHCHEV HAS ON MANY OCCASIONS CITED ALBERTIN AMENDMENT AND ALLEGED OF SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITIES AS ONE OF THE MAIN REASONS FOR BAD US-SOVIET RELATIONS. I FEEL THAT ARGUMENTS FROM THESE BROADCASTS COULD BE USED TO SUPPORT THIS POINT OF VIEW. I HAVE HOWEVER ALWAYS TALED WITH THE

REPRODUCED BY THE
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY OF STATE

SECRET

-2- 1960, FEBRUARY 6, 5:34 PM FROM MOSCOW

FOR PRESENT THESE BROADCASTS SHOULD BE CONTINUED BUT THAT WE SHOULD BE PREPARED IN ANY BASIC OR EVEN PARTIAL AGREEMENT AND SETTLEMENT WITH SOVIET UNION TO DISCONTINUE THEM IN RETURN FOR SOVIET CONCESSIONS SUCH AS CESSATION OF UNILATERAL. I REALIZE THIS IS DIFFICULT IN VIEW OF OUR POSITION THAT US GOVERNMENT HAS NO CONNECTION WITH THESE BROADCASTS BUT BELIEVE SOVIETS WOULD BE SATISFIED BY OUR UNDERTAKING TO USE OUR INFLUENCE TO SEE THAT THEY ARE DISCONTINUED IF THIS IN FACT HAPPENED. I ALSO REALIZE THAT EVEN IF THESE FACILITIES WERE DISMANTLED SOVIETS COULD REBUILD THEM BUT BELIEVE IF THIS AGREEMENT WERE PART OF A GENERAL SETTLEMENT IN THIS FIELD SUCH AS WAS ENVISAGED AT GENEVA SUMMIT AND SUBSEQUENT FOREIGN MINISTERS' CONFERENCES, IT WOULD BE WORTH TAKING THIS RISK. I REPEAT HOWEVER THAT I WOULD NOT BE DISPOSED TO RECOMMEND OUR UNILATERAL DISCONTINUANCE OF THESE BROADCASTS DESPITE REAL DOUBTS AS TO WHAT THEY ACTUALLY ACCOMPLISH.

THOMPSON

DT

SECRET