November 9, 1962 Danish Newspaper Interview with Deputy Foreign Minister Pelegria Torras

Citation:

"Danish Newspaper Interview with Deputy Foreign Minister Pelegria Torras", November 9, 1962, Wilson Center Digital Archive, Demokraten, 9 November 1962. Translated for CWIHP by Henrik Brandt.

https://wilson-center-digital-archive.dvincitest.com/document/115400

Summary:

As the first journalist in Cuba since the outbreak of the Cuban crisis, Petersen is received by 1st Deputy Foreign Minister, Dr. Pelegria Torras, for an interview. They discuss the differences between socialism and capitalism; Cuban-Scandanavian relations; Cuban sovereignty; and Cuba's refusal to submit to international inspections.

Credits:

This document was made possible with support from Leon Levy Foundation

Original Language:

Danish

Contents:

Translation - English

First free interview from Cuba

Deputy Foreign Minister states the views of the Castro-government

HAVANA, Thursday, correspondent of The Democrat, Jørgen E. Petersen

As the very first journalist [in Cuba] since the outbreak of the Cuban crisis, I have been received by a member of the Cuban government, the 1stt Deputy Foreign Minister Dr. Pelegria Torras, a 49-year old former university professor. The prerequisite for the talk was that the statements of Dr. Torras should be seen as reflecting the official standpoint of the Cuban government.

countries and the Imperialist bloc.
□□ □□□ □□ □□ □□ □□□ □□□ □□□ □□□ □□□ □□
□□ □□□ □□□ □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□
$\Box \Box \Box$ ere will surely have been trade-policy negotiations these days with Mikoyan and his people. $\Box\Box$
$\square \overline{W}$ hat are the terms for a Cuban reconciliation with the United States? \square
☐☐e Five Points, that Cuba demands, are necessary for our sovereignty.
Respect for our sovereignty
□MVhat if the United States agrees to them? □□
With a smile: - That would be a complete abstraction. But it would mean a major change in the foreign policy of the United States. In that case we would be willing to discuss the differences. All we want is respect for our sovereignty and the wishes of our people. But the reality is that the United States continues its aggression with the blockade, even though Kennedy has already admitted that the rockets are on their way out. However, this does not prevent Cuba from receiving a lot of friendly support from all over the world.
\Box f the Five Points are recognized, will Cuba then accept the Red Cross inspection of the rocket-dismantlement? \Box
Cannot accept inspection
$\square A \square$ the moment, it is the Cuban government's standpoint, that it cannot possibly accept an inspection. \square
□Būt if the Five Points are accepted? □□
Inhen maybe we would allow the Red Cross to inspect the dismantling of the American base on Cuba. In the Cuban terminology, Guantanamo is Cuban territory. If we should allow an inspection of the dismantling, then we would have agreed to an inspection on Cuban territory.

☐️Might it not be possible to extend this, as a cathet the rockets are dismantled and gone? □□	one-off event, to including an inspection

In that eventuality, it should of course be considered. Today, however, the answer has to be no. Cuba prefers negotiation and peace, but only in an atmosphere of mutual respect. The Cuban people today therefore wait with weapon in hand for this right to live in peace.