

# **August 22, 1977**

World Conference for Action Against Apartheid, Lagos, 22-26 August 1977, Supplement to Brief No. A7 (Nuclear Questions): Soviet Allegations About South African Nuclear Weapons Development

## Citation:

"World Conference for Action Against Apartheid, Lagos, 22-26 August 1977, Supplement to Brief No. A7 (Nuclear Questions): Soviet Allegations About South African Nuclear Weapons Development", August 22, 1977, Wilson Center Digital Archive, UK National Archives, FCO45-2131. Obtained and contributed by Anna-Mart van Wyk. https://wilson-center-digital-archive.dvincitest.com/document/116615

# **Summary:**

Memorandum on UK position at Lagos Conference on Apartheid about Soviet allegations of South African nuclear weapons development.

### **Credits:**

This document was made possible with support from Carnegie Corporation of New York (CCNY)

# **Original Language:**

English

#### Contents:

Original Scan

# 03/06/2010 14:23

North I now DCD have over seen thin, which to great to be and the souling provided by in.

Aftern to come the coming form one dignitionals. Cd.

It is not per which in the belong ? CS AD? Room WORLD CONFERENCE FOR ACTION AGAINST APARTHEI LAGOS 22-26 AUGUST 1977

10.

151

SECRET UK EYES A

ALLEGATIONS SUPPLEMENT TO BRIEF NO A7 (NUCLEAR QUESTIONS): ABOUT SOUTH AFRICAN NUCLEAR WEAPONS SOVIET

# Introductio

- these preparing for take "all completing developments. claimed message to the corresponding Work test that the on the explosion. he had Prime Minister production of nuclear information effective measures" He called on 8 that August the weapons to prevent
- President referring statement of the United Brezhnev to containing the essence the messages sent States, parallel France messages and of Germany. the to messages the Heads issued
- this Coming intention important and emotive issue. just may two well weeks be to make before the an ımpact Conference the Conference

# Line to take

- correct that the Soviet 1.S an extremely statement grave about matter. South African intentions
- proliferation anxiety paragraph always by adhering to urge the South African Government to 1 of Brief A7.] of attached nuclear the Non great importance to preventing weapons. Proliferation [Note delete dispel Treaty without last international sentence

# Background (not for use)

statement Minister main brief delivered andof contains President to No 10 Brezhnev's annexes Downing to Street "oral" the by message texts the of Soviet to the

/Chargé

Chargé d'Affaires on 8 August.

- 7. Mr Semenov said when delivering the message that similar messages were being delivered to other Western leaders and members of the Security Council. We believe that the only other recipients were the United States, France and Germany (the Soviet Ambassador said this to the Germans): but it is possible that there may have been others. We have not seen texts of the other messages. The one addressed to us concentrates on the long-standing Anglo-Soviet community of interest in preventing nuclear proliferation. The message calls on us to take "all corresponding effective measures" and asks for a reply: it contains no direct allegations of British collaboration with South Africa in the nuclear field.
- 8. The French Government issued a statement on 9 August commenting on the TASS statement. It said: "Should the information in the TASS report be confirmed, the French Government could only condemn such an initiative which would gravely hinder the efforts of all those who reject apartheid and racial discrimination and who are engaged in the search for a peaceful solution to the problems of southern Africa, based on respect for the legitimate interests and rights of all". Other recipients have so far made no official comments. "The Observer" had a speculative piece on 14 August (text attached) linking the TASS statement with the theft of secret documents from the South African Embassy in Germany. It quotes official sources as confirming that the Prime Minister had eccived a message from President Brezhnev.
- Dr Owen discussed the subject on 12 August with Mr Vance who made it clear that the Americans gave some credence to the ASS statement. They agreed to co-ordinate their responses, cossibly in the form of an actual joint response. Mr Vance aid he would be seeing the Soviet Ambassador in the following week to discuss the matter. On 14 August President Carter

/raised

A

raised this among other subjects with the Prime Minister on the telephone: again it was agreed that the US and UK would co-ordinate their responses. But we have also heard from the Americans that the Prime Minister and Mr Vance had a discussion on 14 August from which Mr Vance seems to have gained the impression that the UK would be making their own response, and that we had our own reasons for handling it differently.

- 10. This may explain why, without any further consultation with us, President Carter's reply to President Brezhnev's message was delivered to the Soviet Chargé d'Affaires in Washington on 15 August. Drafted in forthcoming terms, it implies that there is some ground for believing the Soviet allegation and says that the American Ambassador was being instructed to bring home to the South Africans that the testing of a nuclear device would have the gravest consequences.
- 11. Mr Bowdler accordingly called on Mr 'Pik' Botha on 18 August and told him that, "on the basis of our independent investigations", the US Government had substantial evidence which appeared to be contrary to previous South African assurances that their nuclear programme was devoted exclusively to peaceful purposes. Mr Bowdler said that he had been instructed to make clear that the detonation of a nuclear device, or any further steps to acquire or develop a nuclear explosive capability, would have the most serious consequences for US/South African relations and would be considered a serious threat to the peace. He added that South Africa would not be able to count on help from the Western Powers, and the issue might arise in the Security Council at short notice with unforeseeable results. Bowdler asked that the South African Government "should find mans to prove, in a publicly persuasive way, that you are not eveloping a Kalahari facility as a nuclear test site, and that wur pilot enrichment plant is not and will not be used to produce enriched uranium for any explosive purpose".

The meeting was stormy and the South Africans (Mr Botha

/and

and Mr Fourie) in effect denied the allegations, but added that they would be speaking to Mr Vorster "and others". Mr Bowdler has since been instructed to deliver a letter from Mr Vance to Mr Botha which sets out certain evidence about a possible test site in the Kalahari Desert and goes on to say that the only way to resolve existing doubts would be to permit a visit by a small US technical team to inspect the suspect location in the Kalahari Desert; and this visit ought to take place before the start of the Lagos Conference.

[Paras 11 and 12 should of course be treated with very great care for the time being.]

- 13. The Americans have kept in close touch with the French, but not, apparently, with the Germans.
- We are instructing Sir D Scott to make parallel representations to Mr Botha; these will concentrate on the grave political consequences if the South Africans produce, and still more, if they test a nuclear weapon; that we urge them not to do so and to give convincing proof that they have no such plans; and that the best means of doing so would be to accede to the NPT.
- 15. A draft reply (text at B) has been submitted for the Prime Minister's reply to President Brezhnev. At the time of writing this has not yet been approved.

## General

- The department will keep the delegation informed of developments by telegram.

  17. A Guidance is being 19.

  20.

  10.

  11.

  12.

  13.

  14.

  15.

  14.

  15.

  16. The department will a second s
  - A Guidance is being issued as soon as possible.