



August 24, 1977

**Extract from Speech by the South African Prime
Minister at Congress of the National Party of Cape
Province**

Citation:

"Extract from Speech by the South African Prime Minister at Congress of the National Party of Cape Province", August 24, 1977, Wilson Center Digital Archive, UK National Archives, FCO45-2131. Obtained and contributed by Anna-Mart van Wyk.
<https://wilson-center-digital-archive.dvincitest.com/document/116617>

Summary:

Extract from a speech during which South African Prime Minister Vorster discusses Soviet allegations that South Africa has developed a nuclear bomb.

Credits:

This document was made possible with support from Carnegie Corporation of New York (CCNY)

Original Language:

English

Contents:

Original Scan



British Embassy
 Economic Section
 6 Hill Street Pretoria 0002
 Telex 3641 (a/b 3-841-SA) Telephone 74-3121

enter spa
 ① *use Ac.D.D. / En.D. / N.A.D. with enclosure*
 ② *Mr. [unclear]*
 Your reference *Full [unclear]*

D L Mc Millen Esq
 CSAD
 Foreign & Commonwealth Office
 Whitehall
 London SW1A 2AH

hmm / HJ
 Our reference 083/2
 Date 29 August 1977

<i>css 166/2</i>		
RECEIVED IN REGISTRY NO. 90		
19 SEP 1977		
DESK OFFICER	PA	REGISTRY
INDEX	PA	Clon Taken
	<i>108214</i>	

Dear David,

SOUTH AFRICA'S NUCLEAR INTENTIONS ⁽⁸¹⁾

1. As we reported in our telegram No 704, in a public speech in Cape Town on 24 August Mr Vorster spoke at length about the allegations that South Africa is on the point of exploding a nuclear bomb. We have now obtained a copy of the text of this speech, and I enclose a copy of the section on nuclear matters.
2. The speech is obviously meant to be an authoritative statement of the South African position, and therefore merits careful reading. You will note that Vorster does not in so many words deny that South Africa is developing nuclear weapons; he refers merely to the South African Government's support for the ideal that nuclear energy be used solely for peaceful purposes. Other points of interest in the speech include the detailed description of the measures South Africa has taken to place its large scale commercial enrichment plant under IAEA safeguards (the first time, I think, that the South Africans have gone into such detail in public on this subject); and the complaints about the American failure to honour an undertaking to supply fuel for the research reactor SAFARI I. Mr Vorster also refers to what must be a very real South African fear that the United States will also refuse to supply fuel for the Koeberg Nuclear Power Station. (in this context see paragraph 3 of Pretoria telegram No 669).

Yours ever,

Sophia Lambert
 Sophia Lambert

c.c. C W Squire, Washington

03/06/2010 14:52

Extract from Speech by the South African Prime Minister on
24 August 1977 in Cape Town Town Hall during the Congress
of the National Party of Cape Province

(Translation from Afrikaans)

You will have seen in the last few days that (the Russians) have claimed that South Africa is on the point of exploding a nuclear bomb. You will remember that, when I announced to the world in the name of the Government a few years ago that our scientists could enrich uranium, and that they had developed a process for doing so in the most ingenious way possible, the world laughed at us; and when we offered to work together with all other nations peacefully and for peaceful ends, we were universally ignored. And in June this year, despite the fact that we were a founder member, we were thrown out of the Committee which deals with these matters and Egypt was put in our place, the excuse being put forward that we were backward in the nuclear field. Now this "backward" nation is being accused because she suddenly wants to explode a nuclear bomb. I said last night that I smile when I think of these things; indeed I want to laugh aloud as I read more about them.

(English in the original)

Now, ladies and gentlemen, it is well-known when I speak about this subject that I as Prime Minister and other Ministers as well as the President of the South African Atomic Energy Board have on frequent occasions stated and re-affirmed the South African Government's support for the ideal that nuclear energy be used solely for peaceful purposes. As a practical manifestation of our policy in support of non-proliferation, South Africa has participated actively in setting up the safeguards system of the International Atomic Energy Agency. Furthermore, South Africa has voluntarily undertaken to supply its uranium to non-nuclear weapon states only under Agency or equivalent safeguards. Indeed, South Africa has, throughout, scrupulously honoured all its obligations under the Statute of the Agency. There is ample evidence of the constructive role South Africa has played in this field in the Agency from its very founding days. Therefore, although South Africa has not acceded to the Non-Proliferation Treaty (N.P.T.), that does not mean that we have not co-operated in achieving the object of preventing proliferation. Indeed all the evidence show that we have in fact co-operated to prevent proliferation.

South Africa's offer to place its large-scale commercial enrichment plant which is to be erected in South Africa, unilaterally, under Agency safeguards, was taken a step further in August 1976 when the President of the South African Atomic Energy Board asked the Director-General of the Agency to provide a proposed draft for such an agreement. A design information questionnaire was received in October 1976 followed by a draft safeguards agreement at the end of February 1977. Since then the President of the South African Atomic Energy Board has had discussions on this matter with the Inspector-General of the International Atomic Energy Agency and during these discussions in Salzburg the President pointed out that the Uranium Enrichment Corporation of South Africa had in fact completed the questionnaire assuming a hypothetical capacity for the commercial enrichment plant. He indicated, however, that, as announced before, the South African Government would only decide on the size of the plant in 1978 and pointed out that if the size differed substantially from the one that had been assumed in filling in the questionnaire, the answers would not be applicable at all. In these circumstances it was agreed that it would be better to wait until the South African Government had taken a firm decision on the size of the commercial

03/06/2010 14:52

plant before completion of the questionnaire and its submission to the Agency. These facts are well-known. 33

Furthermore, South Africa has on various occasions in the past given consideration to accession to the Non-Proliferation Treaty. In this process South Africa must naturally bear in mind the obligations undertaken by the other parties, on a bilateral as well as a multi-lateral basis in this field. For instance, in terms of Article IV(2) of the Non-Proliferation Treaty, all parties undertake to facilitate the fullest possible exchange of equipment, materials and scientific and technological information for the peaceful uses of nuclear energy. Arising from bilateral agreements there have been specific commitments in regard to the supply of materials and equipment subject to Agency safeguards, but it has been South Africa's experience in the recent past that these commitments have been totally ignored. Inevitably South Africa must, in considering accession to the Non-Proliferation Treaty, be influenced by the way in which the powers concerned live up to their commitments under bilateral agreement with South Africa as well as under Article IV(2) of the Non-Proliferation Treaty in general.

The International Atomic Energy Agency, which has a responsibility of ensuring that the obligations of the Non-Proliferation Treaty are carried out by the signatories, must inspire confidence with all the parties to the Treaty and only then can it fulfil its functions satisfactorily. Far from inspiring confidence, however, and contrary to its own Statute - it decided in June last to oust South Africa from the Board of Governors and to replace it by Egypt "as the most advanced country in Africa in the field of nuclear energy, including the production of nuclear raw material". So it was motivated. This, ladies and gentlemen, in spite of the fact that since the inception of the Agency, South Africa has been a member of the Board of Governors and in spite of its recognised position as a major producer of uranium, as well as its achievements in the field of nuclear research, including the development of a new enrichment process.

Furthermore, countries like the U.S.A. have not honoured the commitments they have entered into bilaterally. For example, the U.S.A.

03/06/2010 14:52

38

has not honoured the undertaking to supply fuel for the research reactor Safari I, which is under full International Atomic Energy Agency safeguards, in spite of the undertaking South Africa has given and honoured; that the burnt fuel elements will be returned to the U.S.A. for re-processing. No delivery has yet been made of fuel elements ordered from the U.S.A. two years ago, although the enriched uranium was paid for at the time that the order was placed. Up to now South Africa has not even received an indication whether an export permit for the elements will be issued.

As you know, South Africa has decided to build a nuclear power station at Koeberg. South Africa has entered into a contract with the United States Energy Research and Development Agency for the supply of the necessary fuel for the initial period. What guarantee do we have that this contract will be honoured and that the fuel will be delivered in time? - is the question I am entitled to put tonight.

We are still seriously considering accession to the Non-Proliferation Treaty and we would be prepared to discuss the matter with the United States, who last week urged us to do so, but naturally the discriminatory actions, including those to which I have referred, will be raised and will have to be sorted out at the same time (applause). In the envisaged discussions we would of course also ask for information on what steps the United States Government has taken to induce other countries to adhere to the N.P.T. According to the latest information at my disposal it is interesting to note that apart from other countries which have not done so no less than 13 of the 34 members of the Board of Governors of the International Atomic Energy Agency have either not ratified or acceded to the N.P.T. These 13 countries are:

Argentina, Bangladesh, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Egypt, France (may I pause here for a moment and say "et tu Brute"), India, Indonesia, Niger, Pakistan, Panama and Portugal.

I ask you in all seriousness, ladies and gentlemen, I ask the world by what rights are they pressurising South Africa to do this? I ask you

03/06/2010 14:52

E..

discrimination against South Africa when there are so many other countries and even 13 who are sitting on the Board itself, why must South Africa again be singled out? 38

The U.S.A., the Soviet Union and the United Kingdom are parties to the Treaty but they have fully protected their positions as nuclear weapon states with large nuclear arsenals. Indeed at this time when the Soviet Union is accusing South Africa of preparing a nuclear explosion, reports indicate that the Soviet Union herself has set off a further explosion in its current series. Such, ladies and gentlemen, are the double standards to which South Africa is subjected to in this world. And I say so in all seriousness, as a matter of fact I say so in all humility: If these things continue and don't stop the time will arrive when South Africa will have no option, small as it is, to say to the world: So far and no further, do your damndest if you so wish (loud applause).