

## February 1985

# Central Intelligence Agency, Directorate of Intelligence, 'The Libyan Nuclear Program: A Technical Perspective'

#### Citation:

"Central Intelligence Agency, Directorate of Intelligence, 'The Libyan Nuclear Program: A Technical Perspective'", February 1985, Wilson Center Digital Archive, Obtained and contributed by William Burr and included in NPIHP Research Update #11 https://wilson-center-digital-archive.dvincitest.com/document/116906

### **Summary:**

For years, U.S. intelligence agencies did not take seriously Muammar Gaddafi's efforts to develop a Libyan nuclear capability and this report provides early evidence of the perspective that the Libyan program "did not know what it was doing." According to the CIA, the program's "serious deficiencies," including "poor leadership" and lack of both "coherent planning" and trained personnel made it "highly unlikely the Libyans will achieve a nuclear weapons capability within the next 10 years." The Libyan effort was in such a "rudimentary stage" that they were trying to acquire any technology that would be relevant to producing plutonium or enriched uranium.

#### **Credits:**

This document was made possible with support from Carnegie Corporation of New York (CCNY)

## **Original Language:**

**English** 

#### **Contents:**

Original Scan

.  $\square$ 



Directorate of Intelligence

TUY SI Top Secret

CIA SW 85-10017CX

EO 13526 3.3(b)(1)>25Yrs EO 13526 3.5(c)

## The Libyan Nuclear Program: A Technical Perspective

An Intelligence Assessment

APPROVED FOR RELEASE: 22-Nov-2011

SW 85-10017CX SC 00387/85 February 1985

Copy 279



Ion Secret

The Libyan Nuclear Program:
A Technical Perspective

An Intelligence Assessment

Top Secret SW 85-10017CX SC 00387/85 February 1985



**Key Judgments** 

Information available as of 1 November 1984 was used in this report.

The	Libyan Nucle	ear Program: _			
A To	echnical Pers	pective			
Liby rudin chose majo as we The prog	a wants to develone tary that in the cast the basis or problems, in the cast as political emergence of	relop a nuclear we t is not yet clear for a weapon. It cluding poor lead and financial obs a Libyan nuclear	eapon. The L whether plut is clear, howe lership and la tacles to acq scientific car	ation Treaty, we believ Libyan program is so onium or uranium will ever, that the program ack of coherent plannin uiring nuclear facilities dre indicates that the d survive the end of the	be has
Liby 10 y deve conv al us close Liby such	vans will achievers. Significationed, such as verting uranium and in a uranium or to developing will most like as uranium or uranium or to developing will most like as uranium or uranium	ve a nuclear wear nt parts of the nu- the capability of n yellowcake to u m enrichment pla g the plutonium a cely not be able to nrichment and re	oon capability clear fuel cy producing n ranium hexa int. Such cap and/or enrich o master the processing w  ura is operati	te it highly unlikely the y within at least the ne cle probably will be uclear fuel pellets and fluoride—the feed mat habilities could bring Lined uranium fuel cycle, more critical technologisthin the next 10 years ional, but few Libyans	xt bya bur ies
as lo	ong as the Sovi	e positions. Over 2 lets remain there melear research.	it will be diff	ecialists are at Tajura, ficult for the Libyans t	ano
Sovi	iet-built 440-m	negawatt nuclear al agreement h <u>as</u>	power reacto	ets over acquisition of toors, but after six years ached, due to technical,	of
	hostage of com	npetent scientists	believe that	rs also has delayed the Libya will continue to	try
nuc'	lear program s pgrade its pers	substantially. We sonnel. The rate o n nuclear supplie	f progress wi	training.	ilit

			•		
					•
	•				
				•	
	•				
at Al Fatah University in as the numbers of engine be barely adequate even nuclear program.  Their stagnating relation the Libyans to seek nucl Belgium—the most important Brazil. Some assistance in the library of the	ers, scientists, and for the limited ra sin the nuclear fear assistance from the sin been obtained	d technicians, ho inge of activities held with the US m other countries many, India, Fi from Belgium an	wever, appear to now in Libya's SR have caused s. These includent and, and	o d e	,
ment, but the Belgian G- would represent a major nonsensitive technology umbrella bilateral agrees for equipment, consulting Earlier negotiations with have been on hold. If the the plant may begin agai	overnment has no achievement for the were involved, it were involved, it were through white grounds and per a Belgian firm for protocol is conclust. The plant would achieve the protocol is conclust.	t ratified it. Sucthe Libyans. Even vould provide Lich it could nego rsonnel training. or a uranium tetuded, however, rud be a step towar	en if only bya with an tiate contracts rafluoride plant acgotiations for d a capability to	0	
ment, but the Belgian G- would represent a major nonsensitive technology umbrella bilateral agrees for equipment, consulting Earlier negotiations with have been on hold. If the	overnment has no achievement for twere involved, it we ment through whig services, and per a Belgian firm for protocol is conclus. The plant would m suitable for use	t ratified it. Sucthe Libyans. Even vould provide Lich it could nego resonnel training. For a uranium tetuded, however, red be a step toware as fuel in a nuc	en if only bya with an tiate contracts rafluoride plant regotiations for d a capability to lear reactor, bu	o t	······································
ment, but the Belgian G- would represent a major nonsensitive technology umbrella bilateral agrees for equipment, consulting Earlier negotiations with have been on hold. If the the plant may begin agai produce uranium in a for	overnment has no achievement for twere involved, it we ment through whig services, and per a Belgian firm for protocol is conclus. The plant would m suitable for use	t ratified it. Sucthe Libyans. Even vould provide Lich it could nego resonnel training. For a uranium tetuded, however, red be a step toware as fuel in a nuc	en if only bya with an tiate contracts rafluoride plant regotiations for d a capability to lear reactor, bu	o t	***************************************
ment, but the Belgian G- would represent a major nonsensitive technology umbrella bilateral agrees for equipment, consulting Earlier negotiations with have been on hold. If the the plant may begin agai produce uranium in a for	overnment has no achievement for twere involved, it we ment through whig services, and per a Belgian firm for protocol is conclus. The plant would m suitable for use	t ratified it. Sucthe Libyans. Even vould provide Lich it could nego resonnel training. For a uranium tetuded, however, red be a step toware as fuel in a nuc	en if only bya with an tiate contracts rafluoride plant regotiations for d a capability to lear reactor, bu	o t	
ment, but the Belgian G- would represent a major nonsensitive technology umbrella bilateral agrees for equipment, consulting Earlier negotiations with have been on hold. If the the plant may begin agai produce uranium in a for	overnment has no achievement for twere involved, it we ment through whig services, and per a Belgian firm for protocol is conclus. The plant would m suitable for use	t ratified it. Sucthe Libyans. Even vould provide Lich it could nego resonnel training. For a uranium tetuded, however, red be a step toware as fuel in a nuc	en if only bya with an tiate contracts rafluoride plant regotiations for d a capability to lear reactor, bu	o t	
ment, but the Belgian G- would represent a major nonsensitive technology umbrella bilateral agrees for equipment, consulting Earlier negotiations with have been on hold. If the the plant may begin agai produce uranium in a for	overnment has no achievement for twere involved, it we ment through whig services, and per a Belgian firm for protocol is conclus. The plant would m suitable for use	t ratified it. Sucthe Libyans. Even vould provide Lich it could nego resonnel training. For a uranium tetuded, however, red be a step toware as fuel in a nuc	en if only bya with an tiate contracts rafluoride plant regotiations for d a capability to lear reactor, bu	o t	4
ment, but the Belgian G would represent a major nonsensitive technology umbrella bilateral agrees for equipment, consulting Earlier negotiations with have been on hold. If the the plant may begin again produce uranium in a for	overnment has no achievement for twere involved, it we ment through whig services, and per a Belgian firm for protocol is conclus. The plant would m suitable for use	t ratified it. Sucthe Libyans. Even vould provide Lich it could nego resonnel training. For a uranium tetuded, however, red be a step toware as fuel in a nuc	en if only bya with an tiate contracts rafluoride plant regotiations for d a capability to lear reactor, bu	o t	
ment, but the Belgian G- would represent a major nonsensitive technology umbrella bilateral agrees for equipment, consulting Earlier negotiations with have been on hold. If the the plant may begin agai produce uranium in a for	overnment has no achievement for twere involved, it we ment through whig services, and per a Belgian firm for protocol is conclus. The plant would m suitable for use	t ratified it. Sucthe Libyans. Even vould provide Lich it could nego resonnel training. For a uranium tetuded, however, red be a step toware as fuel in a nuc	en if only bya with an tiate contracts rafluoride plant regotiations for d a capability to lear reactor, bu	o t	
ment, but the Belgian G would represent a major nonsensitive technology umbrella bilateral agrees for equipment, consulting Earlier negotiations with have been on hold. If the the plant may begin again produce uranium in a for	overnment has no achievement for twere involved, it we ment through whig services, and per a Belgian firm for protocol is conclus. The plant would m suitable for use	t ratified it. Sucthe Libyans. Even vould provide Lich it could nego resonnel training. For a uranium tetuded, however, red be a step toware as fuel in a nuc	en if only bya with an tiate contracts rafluoride plant regotiations for d a capability to lear reactor, bu	o t	
ment, but the Belgian G- would represent a major nonsensitive technology umbrella bilateral agrees for equipment, consulting Earlier negotiations with have been on hold. If the the plant may begin agai produce uranium in a for	overnment has no achievement for twere involved, it we ment through whig services, and per a Belgian firm for protocol is conclus. The plant would m suitable for use	t ratified it. Sucthe Libyans. Even vould provide Lich it could nego resonnel training. For a uranium tetuded, however, red be a step toware as fuel in a nuc	en if only bya with an tiate contracts rafluoride plant regotiations for d a capability to lear reactor, bu	o t	1
ment, but the Belgian G- would represent a major nonsensitive technology umbrella bilateral agrees for equipment, consulting Earlier negotiations with have been on hold. If the the plant may begin agai produce uranium in a for	overnment has no achievement for twere involved, it we ment through whig services, and per a Belgian firm for protocol is conclus. The plant would m suitable for use	t ratified it. Sucthe Libyans. Even vould provide Lich it could nego resonnel training. For a uranium tetuded, however, red be a step toware as fuel in a nuc	en if only bya with an tiate contracts rafluoride plant regotiations for d a capability to lear reactor, bu	o t	
ment, but the Belgian G- would represent a major nonsensitive technology umbrella bilateral agrees for equipment, consulting Earlier negotiations with have been on hold. If the the plant may begin agai produce uranium in a for	overnment has no achievement for twere involved, it we ment through whig services, and per a Belgian firm for protocol is conclus. The plant would m suitable for use	t ratified it. Sucthe Libyans. Even vould provide Lich it could nego resonnel training. For a uranium tetuded, however, red be a step toware as fuel in a nuc	en if only bya with an tiate contracts rafluoride plant regotiations for d a capability to lear reactor, bu	o t	

Top Secret. SC 00387/85



Top Secret	

#### **Contents**

		Pag
Key Judgment	5	iii
Introduction	· · · · · ·	I
Possible Techn	ology Routes to a Nuclear Weapon	. 1
Safeguards		2
The Tajura Nu	iclear Research Center	. 2
. ]	Basic Reactor Research	5
i i	Radiochemical Research	6
]	Fusion Research	6
	Nuclear and Material Science Research	6
Soviet-Supplier	HEU Fuel	6
Efforts To Acq	uire Key Technologies and Material	8
1	Nigerian-Supplied Uranium	8
<u></u>	Jranium Conversion	. 8
" · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jranium Enrichment	8
	Fuel Fabrication	11
Problems	,	12
<u> </u>	Lack of Trained Personnel	- 12
	Soviet Reactor Deal	13
1	Financial Problems	14
Prospects for I	ibyan-Belgian Cooperation	

Appendixes		
A.	The Libyan Secretariat of Atomic Energy	17
В.	The Nuclear Research Center at Tajura	 19

Table	
Principal Libyan Approaches for Nuclear Technology and Other Support	9

Top Secret.





Figure 1 Libyan Pathways for Developing Nuclear Fuel Cycle Laboratory scale capability UO<sub>2</sub> UF<sub>4</sub> productio UF<sub>6</sub> production Uranium ore mining Capability not yet developed but under research No indications of any research or an existing capability Uranium metal/UO<sub>2</sub> production Natural or enriched uranium metal of UO; fuel Uranium Fuel fabrication enrichment Uranium metal/1 (); Spent fuel H<sub>2</sub>O reactor-Bights corrected uranium metal Plutonium Fuel D<sub>2</sub>O production D2O reactor - :: Spent fuel Nonnuclear component Nuclear Weapon stockpile weapons fabrication High explosive testing design

Top Secret SC 00387/85

vi



	Top Secret
·	-
The Libyan Nuclear Program: A Technical Perspective	7
Introduction We believe the ultimate goal of the Libyan nuclear program is to develop nuclear weapons, although Libya has been a party to the Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty (NPT) since 1975. Libyan leader Mu'ammar Qadhafi long has harbored a strong interest in a nuclear weapon capability and has made an effort to obtain a weapon directly. Qadhafi approached the Chinese in 1973 and again in 1976 in attempts to purchase nuclear weapons. On both occasions he was rebuffed	Either fabricating fuel directly from the UO, for use in a heavy water reactor, or producing UF, from the UO, and then using the UF, to make uranium metal to fuel a heavy water reactor.      Reprocessing the highly radioactive spent fuel from the reactor to recover plutonium for use in a nuclear weapon  Libya's nuclear program is currently at such a rudimentary stage that the Libyans are trying to develop or acquire any technology that would be relevant for either approach to producing fissile material. Most of the steps involved in both routes require skills and
The Libyans face many obstacles to achieving their goal, including the absence of coherent planning and a shortage of competent personnel. Other obstacles are political unrest and the intense suspicions of Western suppliers toward Libya and its nuclear goals.  Possible Technology Routes to a Nuclear Weapon The Libyans have a choice of two technology routes to produce fissile material for a nuclear weapon—one is to produce enriched uranium; the other is to produce plutonium.  The enriched uranium sente to a suclear weapon would include the following steps (figure 1):  Producing uranium dioxide (UO <sub>2</sub> ) and then uranium tetrofluoride (LIC) from uranium yellowcake (LIC).	equipment beyond what Libya now has, and Libya probably will continue to meet resistance in efforts to obtain relevant technology from the West and the USSR  The most difficult aspect of the uranium route is the technology for enriching uranium in the isotope U-235. The Libyans have been pursuing basic research in the gas centrifuge and laser isotope enrichment techniques, but have made little progress. Because of the complexity of the technology and the lack of foreign suppliers, they are unlikely to make significant advances in this area in the next 10 years.  Mosseyes, these technologies would be subject to safeguards through Libya's adherence to the NPT.
<ul> <li>tetrafluoride (UF<sub>4</sub>) from uranium yellowcake (U,O<sub>6</sub>).</li> <li>Using the UF<sub>4</sub> to make uranium hexafluoride (UF<sub>6</sub>), the feed material for an enrichment facility.</li> <li>Enriching the UF<sub>5</sub> in the isotope U-235 to make weapons-grade (greater than 90-percent U-235) uranium for use in a weapon.</li> <li>Converting UF<sub>6</sub> highly enriched in U-235 to uranium metal for use in weapons.</li> <li>The other possible technology route to a nuclear weapon—using plutonium—involves these steps:</li> <li>Producing UO<sub>2</sub> from U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.</li> </ul>	On the plutonium side, the most difficult technologies are those related to the construction of a reactor to produce plutonium, and the construction of reprocessing facilities to separate plutonium from the irradiated nuclear fuel. Libya's only reactor is a small safeguarded research reactor (supplied by the Soviets) that cannot produce significant quantities of plutonium. Libya for several years has been discussing purchasing from the Soviets two 440-MWe lightwater-moderated power reactors. Such reactors would have the potential to produce significant quantities of plutonium, and the Libyans apparently once hoped
1	Top Secret SC 00387/85





explosives.

.

that the acquisition of these reactors would provide

them with a source of fissile material for nuclear

However, the Libyans now appear to realize that the safeguards on the reactors, as well as the strict safeguards and control of sensitive nuclear material, would make it practically impossible to divert spent fuel or plutonium clandestinely. Libya has expressed interest in acquiring a heavy-water-moderated reactor (which could be used to produce plutonium from natural uranium), but no supplier nation is likely to provide one. Libya has little capability to design or build an unsafeguarded reactor indigenously. Libya also lacks the capability to reprocess spent nuclear fuel to extract plutonium. There are no facilities in Libya to handle more than gram quantities of highly radioactive material and no significant expertise in radiochemistry and related disciplines. Overall, Libya is unlikely to have any capability to produce significant quantities of plutonium in the next 10 years.

Libya does have the capability to produce UO, and UF, on a laboratory scale and is trying to develop the capability to produce uranium metal. Libya has sought (unsuccessfully to date) to acquire production-scale facilities for UF, and UF, from foreign suppliers. These technologies, although relatively minor and less difficult than those described above, could be used for fissile material production for a nuclear

In addition to the sensitive technology of fissile material production, the Libyans will have the substantial tasks of developing a workable design for a nuclear device and producing the necessary high-explosive and other nonnuclear components if they are to develop nuclear weapons. (Meanwhile, they would need to keep such development clandestine.) There is no evidence that they have taken any steps in these areas.

#### Safeguards

Libya has had to sign the Nonproliferation Treaty and accept safeguards to obtain outside assistance for its fledgling nuclear program. The Soviet Union delayed signing a contract to provide Libya with its first nuclear research facilities until Libya became a party to the NPT in 1975. Although the Libyan Secretariat of Atomic Energy (SAE) could decide to conduct unsafeguarded, sensitive (controlled) research activities independently or with foreign assistance, the Soviet Union probably would discourage such activities if it became aware of them, or it might cease its assistance altogether. (See appendix A for a discussion of the organization and functions of the SAE.)

Libya has little incentive to evade current safeguards on its program because of the risk that evasion would be discovered and result in a cutoff of outside assistance. For the foreseeable future, Libya probably will continue to be willing to accept whatever safeguards or other constraints are required in order to increase its chances of acquiring foreign technology and training. In fact, many scientists of Libya's SAE look upon IAEA involvement in Libya as a positive influence, although they do not have a significant policy input. An example is the international seminar on "The Use of Research Reactors in Fundamental and Applied Sciences" held at the Tajura research center (figure 2) in September 1984 and supported by the IAEA

#### The Tajura Nuclear Research Center

The Soviet-supplied Tajura nuclear research center is currently the major element in Libya's nuclear program

The Libyans hope that the recently completed, turnkey research center, staffed by Soviets and by Libyan personnel from Al Fatah University, will allow them to develop the technical cadre required to support a wide range of nuclear activities. While this center gives Libya the facilities it needs to begin its nuclear program, the Soviets are filling most positions and excluding other foreigners. (A detailed description of the facilities and research departments at Tajura is in appendix B.)


Despite the difficulties encountered in working with the Soviets at Tajura—such as disputes over the vaguely worded Tajura contract, inadequate fresh water supplies to cool the research reactor, and construction problems—the SAE apparently is pleased with what it is getting for the 1975 contract price. It will have an equipped research facility for

Top Secret

2







Top Secret SC 00387/85

what it would have cost to build the Tajura buildings alone in 1975. The Soviets have provided a small thermal research reactor (IRT), a zero-power critical assembly (a low-power version of the IRT), a neutron generator (accelerator), a radiochemistry laboratory, a Tokamak device (used for fusion research), radioactive waste storage facilities, computers; and other laboratories, workshops, and support facilities

responsible for research using the IRT reactor and the critical assembly. The Neutron Generator Section of the Reactor Department has undertaken research using a small charged-particle accelerator.

the Department of Nuclear
Physics and Material Science is the main user of the .
IRT, and much of its work will involve physics experiments, using neutron beams from the reactor when it becomes operational

Basic Reactor Research. The IRT Research Reactor Section of the Reactor Department at Tajura is

Top Secret

Ton

The "critical assembly" is a low-power version of the IRT that has been used for more than a year as a training facility for Nuclear Department personnel and for students from the Nuclear Engineering Department of Al Fatah University. The facility is used for basic reactor experiments, such as flux and reactivity measurements and fuel rod evaluations.

As is the case for all of the activity at Tajura, none of this research is directly related to nuclear weapon development but would give the Libyans fundamental nuclear knowledge needed

Radiochemical Research. The radiochemical laboratory within the Radiochemistry Department is designed for extracting radioactive isotopes from targets irradiated in the reactor, for producing radiopharmaceuticals, for conducting research, and for training personnel in radiochemistry and related disciplines. Libya currently has no radiochemists, however, and research in this area is limited

by personnel working in a nuclear program.

There is no real capability to reprocess spent nuclear reactor fuel.

um: and they have not yet been used. Libya probably will use the hot cells to gain experience in working with radioactive material. Such skills are necessary for beginning any serious work on reprocessing, which is essential for the plutonium route to a nuclear weapon. Tajura has no solvent extraction equipment—one of the technologies needed to recover plutonium from spent fuel

Overall, the Radiochemistry Department has a low priority, and there is no pressure or direction from the SAE to develop a reprocessing capability. This tack of an SAE push for such an important technology illustrates the incoherence in the Libyan program and weakens the prospects for developing a reprocessing capability within the next 10 years.

Fusion Research. Research in physical processes, in high-temperature plasma, and nuclear fusion experiments are conducted within the Plasma Physics Department, using a Tokamak device/

the SAE has little

interest in plasma physics, and this program receives lowest priority for the assignment of personnel

Nuclear and Material Science Research. The Nuclear Physics and Material Science group is one of the least active departments at Tajura and suffers from a lack of resources and personnel

Soviet-Supplied HEU Fuel

Because the Soviets have always been concerned about Qadhafi's nuclear intentions, they initially planned to provide only low-enriched uranium fuel (LEU) for use in the IRT research reactor.

the Soviet Union in December 1980 delivered approximately 11 kilograms (kg) of uranium with an enrichment level of 80 percent. The

Top Secret SC 00387/85 6

Soviets probably decided to supply this highly enriched uranium instead of the LEU after the Libyans accepted IAEA safeguards on their IRT reactor. Information indicates that the fuel is enriched to 93 percent and that the 11 kg delivered by the Soviets is sufficient for one reactor core load. Either enrichment level is sufficient

for use in weapons, but the quantity is not enough for even a single explosive device. The Libyans also would probably be deterred from trying to divert any of the fuel for use in an explosive device because such a diversion would violate safeguards and would result in a cutoff of Soviet assistance.

Top Secret

SC 00387/85

Τ	,	
_		
L	the	eventually slowed because Libya was not confident
	Soviets have not required that Libya return accumu-	that Belgonucleaire was sincere about the supply of this technology
Г	lated spent fuel from the IRT to the USSR	the Libyans—in attempting to establish a competitive
1		relationship as a lever for acquiring UF, technology
	there are no provisions for long-term	from Belgium-made requests to the Soviets concern-
-	storage of spent fuel in Libya and only a limited short-	ing technology for transforming yellowcake to urani-
	term storage capacity. The amount of plutonium	um tetrafluoride or uranium hexafluoride. The Sovi-
	produced through normal reactor operations—only a	ets agreed to consider a contract for such a facility only after a final contract is signed for the sale of two
	few grams per year—would not constitute a prolifera- tion risk, even if the Libyans had the capacity to	power reactors to Libya
	separate the plutonium from the spent fuel. However,	
	with the spent fuel available, the Libyans could	Belgonucleaire tried to convince Libya to accept,
	practice reprocessing on a small scale if they acquire	instead of a UF, plant, a uranium tetrafluoride (UF,)
	the equipment to do so. This training would be	facility that had been authorized for sale to Libya by
_	important in developing a cadre of skilled technicians.	the Belgian Government in October 1982, despite US efforts to prevent the sale. Production of UF, would
L		leave the Libyans only one step further away from
	Efforts To Acquire Key Technologies and Material	technology directly relevant to fissile material produc-
	Nigerian-Supplied Uranium. Since 1979, Niger re-	tion than would production of UF.
	portedly has agreed to sell Libya over 1,700 tons of	
	uranium yellowcake, the starting material for devel-	hte
	oping the enriched uranium and plutonium routes to a	Belgian Government believed that the sale of a UF <sub>4</sub> plant to Libya would not involve any proliferation
Г	nuclear weapon.	risk. Belgium told the United States it would not
		approve the sale of technology beyond UF, as long as
-		Libyan leader Qadhafi is in power
1		man a semi a semi a della di controlla
-		Realizing the UF, technology was of little value in its
-	La L	quest for developing the fuel cycle, Libya informed Belgonucleaire in April 1983 that a continuing com-
l	at least 1,100 tons was reported to the IAEA.  This amount of uranium—which, if enriched and	mercial relationship depended on Belgium's decision
	manufactured into fuel rods, would be enough to	to supply Libya with the UF, facility. But the Belgian
	operate two 440-MWe power reactors for up to 10	Government, in response to strong US pressure, indi-
	years—is far in excess of any foreseeable Libyan	cated to Libya that it would refuse to grant permis-
	need.	sion for selling UF, technology to Libya as long as no other supplier agreed to a sale.
	Uranium Conversion. Libya has approached several	other supplier agreed to a said.
	nuclear supplier countries for uranium conversion	Uranium Enrichment. Some rudimentary work has
	technology, which involves the processing of uranium	been conducted on a prototype gas centrifuge under a
	yellowcake to make UO2. UF4, UF4, and uranium	German scientist but, after several years of promises
	metal (see table). Although a necessary preliminary	and delays, this effort has not produced any results. A
	step, uranium conversion is a relatively straightfor-	uranium enrichment capability is a crucial step in the enriched uranium route to a nuclear weapon.
	ward part of the weapon/fuel cycle (see figure 1): uranium enrichment technology, production reactors,	Children aramam, route to a neeron weapon.
	and reprocessing technology are much more sensitive	•
	and difficult to obtain.	
	in 1981 representatives	
	of the Belgian firm, Belgonucleaire, and Libyan nu-	
	clear officials held discussions regarding a 100-ton- per-year uranium hexafluoride (UF,) plant. The talks	
	het-lant menumen naummennen for it himser and muse	

#### Principal Libyan Approaches for Nuclear Technology and Other Support

		• • • •
Date	Country	Technology
Usable in enriched 1978-79	Pakistan	Uranium conversion (UF.)
November- December 1981	Belgium	Uranium conversion (UF,)
1981	Finland	General support
1978	India	Exploration and mining
	•	Production of yellowcake and uranium hexafluoride
November 1982	Finland	Training
MOACHIOCI 1205	t mano	1
March-April 1983	Belgium	Uranium conversion (UF,)
Usable in plutonius		Heavy water production
1981	Switzerland	ricary water production
1981	Yugoslavia	Heavy water production
1981	Canada	Heavy water reactor
1981	West Germany	Heavy water production
	* «	
December 1981	West Germany	Heavy water production
1982.	Argentina	Heavy water reactor
1982	Romania	Heavy water production
1982	Canada	Heavy water reactor

Top Secret SC 00387/85





Principal Libyan Approaches for Nuclear Technology and Other Support (continued)

Date	Country	Technology
Late 1982	Argentina	Heavy water reactor
Usable in both rout	es	Uranium exploration and
1975	Argentina	processing
1978-79	Pakistan	Training
August 1978 and 1982	China	General support
1981	Yugoslavia	Training
	<i>;</i>	
March 1981 and January 1982	Italy	Reprocessing
November- December 1981	Belgium	Uranium conversion (UF.)
December 1981	Romania	General support
· February 1982····	France-	Fuel fabrication
March-June 1982	Romania	General support
1982	Finland	Training
1982	West Germany	Uranium storage
February 1982	West Germany	Uranium extraction and reprocessing
March-April 1982	West Germany and United Kingdom	Uranium conversion

Principal Libyan Approaches for Nuclear Technology and Other Support (continued) Technology Country Uranium extraction and April and June 1982 United Kingdom reprocessing United Kingdom Uranium extraction and reprocessing Uranium extraction and reprocessing May 1982 West Germany Fuel fabrication August-October West Germany Fuel fabrication September-October 1982 India Fuel fabrication September 1982 West Germany General support June 1983 1ran Uranium conversion West Germany September-October 1983 This table is Top Secret nuclear assistance from India. A laser facility for isotope separation has been proposed for the Physics Department of Tajura. While the lasers could be used to enrich uranium, we do not believe that Libya has the technical manpower to master the technique. In 1983 Libya approached vendors directly, including some in Canada and West Uranium dioxide fuel pellets can be made either from Germany, to procure laser equipment. We believe no natural uranium for use in a heavy water reactor or contracts have yet been signed from enriched uranium for use in a light water reactor. The immediate Libyan need for the technol-Both Libyan enrichment projects are at a very rudiogy is unclear, because there is no prospect that the mentary stage, and there is little likelihood that Libya Libyans will be able to build either type of reactor (or will be able to develop a uranium enrichment capabilenrich the uranium for use in the light water reactor) ity in the next 10 years. any time soon. The Soviets will supply the fuel for the 440-MW reactors they have proposed building for the Fuel Fabrication. In late 1982 Libya approached India for equipment to produce UO, nuclear fuel Libyans. pellets. Although the equipment probably was not

> Top Secret SC 00387/85





delivered, and no further discussions were held, the Libyan approach indicates continuing interest for

•	
Top Secret	
	1
•	-
4	
	,
	}
	-
	•
Problems	drawn from Third World countries, Educational stan-
I ibya's nuclear program has major problems, includ-	dards are low because of the poor quality of the
ing poor leadership and lack of coherent planning, as	students and the politicization of the university
well as political and financial obstacles to acquiring	
nuclear facilities. These problems are apparent in	March 1 them and anter posicionario in a contraining
Libyan dealings with the Soviet Union for nuclear	Most Libyan students resist training as technicians, preferring the prestige of the university. A proposal
power reactors	has been made to establish a special school for
Lack of Trained Personnel. Manpower problems pose	technicians, called the Technical Training School for
a major handicap. Libya is limited in scientific man-	Energy at Oarabulli near Tajura.
power, even when compared to other Third World	
countries interested in developing a nuclear program.	
such as Iraq or Egypt. The number of bachelor-level	
graduates in Libya has increased, but deficiencies are	
apparent in the quality and numbers of personnel at	
the postgraduate level, as well as at the technician and	-
skilled labor levels	
Libya's main center for education in nuclear science is	
Al Fatah University in Tripoli which was	; :
established in 1978. Most of the faculty members are	
Top Sweet	12
SC 0038",85	
•	

Too Secret

The training deficiencies within Libya mean that Libyan personnel must seek their education abroad. Because of foreign concern about Qadhafi's long-range nuclear intentions, the Libyans, so far, have encountered difficulties in obtaining foreign education in nuclear science at the highly specialized levels required for either route to a nuclear weapon. For example, the Canadians allow Libyan students to enroll only at the elementary levels of nuclear science. Although Libyan nationals have studied in the United States, as of 11 March 1983 US law prohibited them from studying nuclear-related subjects. This new law also terminated the nonimmigrant status of Libyans or Libya-sponsored persons who were engaged in such training at that time.

In 1980 Libyan scientists visited the Indian Department of Atomic Energy establishments in New Delhi, Bombay, and Calcutta. The Libyans hoped to obtain assistance in training programs.

Libyan nuclear personnel have been trained in Belgium. The Belgian reactor fuel company, Belgonucleaire, has already trained 20 Libyan nuclear engineering students from AI Fatah University in the operation of research and power reactors and the metallurgy of uranium, as well as a variety of uranium processes, ranging from mining to the fabrication of fuel for power reactors.

SAE plans to allow engineers and scientists from the Third World to do research at Tajura. Through association with these well-trained foreigners, Libyan scientists and technicians could receive advanced knowledge and experience.

intend to prevent an influx of other foreign scientists.

The Soviets almost certainly are concerned that such foreigners

would engage in sensitive research, forcing a confrontation between the Soviets and the Libyans. The Soviets also prefer to keep Soviet scientists, engineers, and technicians from having foreign contacts.

Soviet Reactor Deal. Libya also has had major problems with the Soviets in acquiring nuclear power reactors. The project for construction by the Soviets of two 440-MWe power reactors in Libya has encountered major delays because of inadequate planning and poor implementation of program goals. The Libyans are continuing to negotiate with the Soviets for these light water reactors.

We believe that Tripoli and Moscow still have hard bargaining ahead, but Qadhafi's determination to build a reactor (and his lack of an alternate supplier) will probably result in an eventual agreement. Even if construction were to begin immediately, the reactors probably would not be operational by 1990.

The Libyans once viewed the reactors as a source of plutonium for nuclear weapons, but they no longer consider this a practical goal. (They now regard the reactors as a source of electricity and an industrial showcase.) Although the amount of plutonium produced per year in one 440-MWe power reactor would be sufficient for 30 nuclear weapons, Libya would find it practically impossible to divert plutonium clandestinely. An overt diversion of spent fuel would be possible if Libya were to withdraw from the

Top Secret SC 00387/85

Nonproliferation Treaty and terminate safeguards on the reactor, but the diverted fuel would still have to be reprocessed to extract the plutonium. Because of a continued need for foreign fuel and expertise. Libya could not overtly withdraw from safeguards unless it intended to sacrifice further operation of the reactor. And withdrawal from the NPT would almost certainly be interpreted as an open indication of a nuclear weapons intent. Thus, Libya cannot anticipate going straight to reprocessing, the final step for obtaining plutonium for a nuclear weapon.
The SAE activities related to purchase of the two Soviet pressurized water reactors were not subject to any competent scientific review until 1981. This probably reflects conflicting views within the SAE on the need for such reactors
The basic seismic parameters used in the design of the reactors have proved to be incorrect. The projected site at Surt is unsuitable for the power reactors, because it is susceptible to earthquakes.
The Belgian firm, Belgonucleaire, has recommended that Libya ask the
Solution to redesign the power reactors in accordance with its seismic calculations. Libya, however, request ed that IAEA experts review the work performed by the Soviets in analyzing the site. So far, IAEA
representatives have made two trips to Libya to resolve the problem.
The Soviet Union has been forced to make increased expenditures to keep the Libyan project for the two 440-MWe power reactors going ahead. Between 198 and 1983 approximately 200 Soviets were assigned to making the necessary design changes to add desalini

zation plants to the reactors, as well as making on-site environmental studies of seismic conditions and wind

directions. Results of these studies forced design changes in the water intake system for cooling the reactors, as well as changes to meet the seismicity of the site. Such changes caused delays in contract negotiations.

We believe that

the Soviets would be angry, given these expenditures, if the deal falls through, but we do not envision any further pressure (or reprisals) against Libya as a result

Financial Problems. The Soviets are continuing to seek payment, as originally agreed, in foreign exchange, with US \$450 million to be paid when the contract is signed. By mid-1983, however, Libya no longer had the foreign exchange reserves and earning potential from oil exports to enter into any long-term contract.

Believing that the Soviets could not be budged, the Libyans attempted to use a series of technical arguments to delay signing of the final document. Several attempts were made by the Soviets to bring negotiations to an end during 1981 to 1983

At the same time the Soviets made credit terms difficult, they demanded hard currency payments for the power reactors. Moscow's pressure probably resulted from the prospect of making large foreign exchange earnings and from a desire to recoup expenditures incurred over four years for the site surveys, design changes, and site preparation work. Because the Soviets might already have fabricated some of the reactor components in anticipation of the signing of the contract, they may be willing to accept a barter arrangement (for example, oil for nuclear technology).

SC 00387/85

14





Prospects for Libyan-Belgian Cooperation Negotiations between Libya and Belgium on a nuclear support protocol began in April 1984.	Libya will try hard to get Belgium to agree to provide nuclear cooperation in sensitive areas such as UF, technology and will threaten to suspend commercial and other dealings unless it gets what it wants
	We believe there are few cost constraints on the
	Libyans with respect to Belgian cooperation. In fact, it can be argued that Belgium's consulting on the reactor project was largely responsible for the lower
	Soviet bid on the power reactors. For this reason, we
	believe the Libyans wish to continue such assistance to ensure they are not overcharged—as they have
	been in the past. The USSR probably resented Bel-
·:	gian interference at first but is probably resigned to
The terms of the protocol suggest that Belgium could provide the expertise Libya needs to develop its	accepting any future Belgian role in Libya
nuclear program, possibly allowing Libya eventually	We believe the Belgians would like to conclude the
to develop an indigenous capability to construct nucle-	protocol. Libyan officials, however, leaked informa-
ar reactors, which are essential to developing the	tion about it, and public discussion has caused the
plutonium route to a nuclear weapon. Such assistance	Belgian Government to delay final approval. Brussels
also could enable the Libyans to manage and to	delay was probably an effort, in part, to avoid criti-
operate Tajura without depending on Soviet scientific and technical personnel. However, there would be	cism from other Western nuclear supplier nations during a special conference held in Luxembourg in
large startup costs for indigenous reactor develop-	mid-July to discuss nuclear export issues/
ment, because of the lack of any relevant industrial	
base in Libya.	In the near term, there is still a possibility that the
	Belgian Government will be dissuaded from the
	agreement by prospective negative international reac-
	tions. The United States, Britain, and several other
	Western nuclear supplier states will advise against providing any major nuclear technology to training to
	Libya because of Qadhafi's long-term nuclear ambi-
	tions and the political instability in Libya
	Belgian officials may agree to
	limit cooperation between Belgonucleaire and Libya
	to noncontroversial areas.
	In the long term, however, the poor Belgian economic
	situation, a general decline in Belgian nuclear exports,
-	and competition from other European suppliers in-
	crease the possibility that the Belgian Government
	may approve the sale of technology that would sup-
Libya hopes that successful implementation of the	port the enriched uranium route to a nuclear weapon.  Because Libya is a signatory to the Nonproliferation
proposed Belgian cooperation agreement would pro-	Treaty, the supply of sensitive fuel-cycle technology
vide an alternative to Soviet assistance, as well as	(that is, uranium hexafluoride) would be covered by
expand research capabilities that would bring it a	IAEA safeguards.
little closer to developing a nuclear weapon. The	
training specified by the Belgian protocol could even-	
tually give Libya the capability to set up a nuclear	
facility outside of Soviet control.	



Tup Secret SC 00387/85

#### Appendix A

## The Libyan Secretariat of Atomic Energy

The Libyan Secretariat of Atomic Energy (SAE) was established in 1981, replacing the Atomic Energy Establishment (AEE) (figure 7). It provides information to the National Committee on Nuclear Energy, which reports, in turn, to the cabinet or to Qadhafi. The National Committee is authorized to make decisions on proposals submitted by the SAE secretary, who serves as chairman of the committee. Three components at the Secretariat headquarters in Tripoli—the National Academy of Science, SAE Security, and a Special Committee Reviewing the Technical Aspects of the Purchase of Nuclear Power Reactors from the Soviet Union—report directly to the Secretary

Libya's National Academy of Science, called until the end of 1981 the Arab Development Institute, is

Libya's National Academy of Science, called until the end of 1981 the Arab Development Institute, is headed by Mousa Omar. It promotes science in Libya by determining specific needs and recommending programs and policies to the cabinet. The academy has access to the cabinet only through the Secretary of SAE.

SAE security comprises political intelligence officers and the Army personnel who are assigned to monitor the SAE and the Tajura Nuclear Research Center.

The Committee for the Technical Review of the Nuclear Power Plant Contract is a temporary component, established by the SAE to study the technical appendixes of the Soviet PWR contract. Abd Al-Fatah Eskanji, former Director General of the AEE, was appointed its chairman in 1981

Directly below the Secretary is the Committee for Financial and Administrative Affairs. Bashir Madah is head of this committee, which is responsible for finances, administration, public relations, documentation, and legal affairs. The Committee for Scientific Affairs, headed by Dr. Fathi Nuh, is composed of seven subordinate divisions, as follows.

#### Power

This division is headed by Dr. Fathi Bara and staffed with 15 scientists and engineers. It is totally occupied in power reactor negotiations with the Soviet Union

#### **Exploration and Mining**

Dr. Khalid Al-Hangary is head of this division, which is responsible for mining and milling uranium ore to yellowcake (U,O<sub>4</sub>). The U,O<sub>4</sub> produced is then turned over to the Fuel Division. The Exploration Section, with a staff of 10 to 15 scientists, is responsible for conducting uranium surveys.

The

Mining Section essentially is inactive, but four of its members are receiving training in Brazil.

#### Fuel

This division, which is headed by Dr. Mahmud El-Borai, is responsible for the conversion of U<sub>1</sub>0, through all of the production steps up to and including the production of nuclear reactor fuel rods. It is staffed by 10 to 15 engineers who were educated abroad. It is believed to be the best organized and most productive division of the SAE

#### Technical Training and Cooperation

This department, which is headed by Najib Al-Shabani, is responsible for supervising all foreign and domestic training programs, as well as for monitoring bilateral cooperation agreements and foreign contracts.

#### Health Physics and Radiation Safety


The sole responsibility of this division is establishing regulations to meet safety and environmental requirements for the SAE. Although it has held a low priority within the SAE for many years, the division was reactivated in 1983 as a result of the completion of the Tajura Nuclear Research Center

Top Secret SC 00387/85





Figure 7
The Libyan Secretariat of Atomic Energy



Seecet

#### Research

This division handles matters beyond the other divisions' responsibilities. It is not effective because it lacks a supervisor, but it is intended to coordinate and integrate activities of the divisions, initiate projects, and recommend the division to which a project should be assigned.

Tajura Nuclear Research Center

The center is responsible for the administration of safeguards and scheduling of IAEA inspections. It has eight departments. Its head is Dr. Faruk Al-Humaydi/

Top Secret SC 00387/85 18

0





#### Appendix B

## The Nuclear Research Center at Tajura

Description of the Facility The center, which is adjacent to the Tajura Barracks, consists of a research area, housing area, radioactive waste storage area, secured warehouses, and associated desalination plant Construction was started in late 1977. The building interiors, however, probably were not finished until late

Nuclear Research Departments at Tajura

The Tajura Nuclear Research Center has eight research departments (figure 8). They are as follows.

SC 00387/85





Figure 8 Department of the Tājūrā Nuclear Research Center l'àjura Nuclear Research Center Dr. Faruk Humaydi Director Administration and finance Salem /litan Suclear physics and material science department Dr Abu Zaid Reactor department Mr Ahmad Arbush Maintenance, operation, and construction department Dr. Mustafa Bazafye Plasma physics department (vacant) Neutron activation Raduchemistry analysis department Dr. Muhammad department Dr. Sadiq Rubba Alt al-Magrabi Computer and Radiation safety and documentation health physics department Dr Jamal Zuwayt department Khalid Jalluta Reactor. Ahmad Hobrush is head of this department, is Ibrahim Shaybani. SAE is debating whether this which is divided into the IRT Research Reactor, department's work should be mainly training or research. Critical Assembly, and Neutron Generator Sections. The Reactor Section is the largest-with a staff of about 30, including one or two foreigners. Radiochemistry. This somewhat inactive department has a total staff of only four or five technicians. Dr. Sadiq Rabha, whose speciality is food preservation Neutron Activation Analysis. This department is headed by Dr. Muhammad Ali al-Maghrabi and has using radiation, replaced Dr. Ahmad Al-Hisnawvi (no background in radiochemistry) as department head in a total staff of five or six early- to mid-1983/ Nuclear Physics and Material Science. Dr. Abu Zaid is head of this department, which has a technical staff of 15 to 20. The head of the Material Science Section

SC 00387/85



Maintenance, Operations, and Construction. Dr. Mustafa Bazelya is head of this department, which has a staff of 100 to 150. The department is responsible for water treatment and desalination processes. It supervises machine and electrical shops, as well as waste and storage facilities.

Health Physics and Safety. Dr. Jamal Zuwayt is head of this department, which has a competent staff of seven or eight. Its responsibilities overlap those of the Health Physics and Radiation Safety Division of the SAE. It is developing and implementing radiation protection standards for the Tajura Center, the university, and Libyan hospitals

Computer and Documentation. This department uses a computer system provided by Siemens in West Germany under subcontract to the Soviets. The main computer (equivalent to a CDC 6400) is connected to terminals in the Tajura Center. However, no Siemens representatives are at Tajura, and the department is understaffed. The minicomputer systems are used for various analysis and control functions, such as radiation detection and analysis.

Plasma Physics. The work of this department centers around the Tokamak device, which is used for research in physical processes in high-temperature plasma and for nuclear fusion experiments. Acquired from the Soviets, the Tokamak was recommended by Izzat Abd-Al-Aziz, an Egyptian plasma physicist brought have the Librar maches program to advice the SAE. The directorship of this department has been vacant since Sadiq Rabha's transfer to the Radio-

chemistry Department.

21

Tup Secret SC 00387/85



