

February 24, 1975

Memorandum of conversation, 'French Participation in the Nuclear Suppliers Conference'

Citation:

"Memorandum of conversation, 'French Participation in the Nuclear Suppliers Conference'", February 24, 1975, Wilson Center Digital Archive, State Department releases from P-reels. Obtained and contributed by William Burr. https://wilson-center-digital-archive.dvincitest.com/document/119786

Summary:

This memo outlines the process that the French said they would take in order to reach a decision regarding their participation in the nuclear suppliers' group conference. These steps include France presenting a paper on their position, the U.S. responding, and then more bilateral talks before a final decision is reached.

Credits:

This document was made possible with support from Carnegie Corporation of New York (CCNY)

Original Language:

English

Contents:

Original Scan

PREDIET PESSONET/EXDIS

Memorandum of Conversation

EXOIS File



DATE: February 24, 1975

Place: Mr. Ingersoll's

NODIS BEVIEW

with additional acress

retained by 5/S

*X2:5

office 3:30 pm

SUBJECT: French Participation in the

Nuclear Suppliers Conference

PARTICIPANTS: THE UNITED STATES

> Robert S. Ingersoll, Deputy Secretary mondance to O/FADRC Louis V. Nosenzo, Director, PM/NPO Louis V. Nosenzo, Director, Pri/NPO semisfice by 5/5 Robert W. Duemling, Executive Assistant and control and contr

FRANCE

Ambassador Jacques Kosciusko-Morizet Done: | Fat.

Gabriel de Bellescize, Counselor of Embassy

COPIES TO:

The French Ambassador, under instructions, said that they wished to send M. de Nazelle and Goldschmidt to meet in Washington with Mr. Vest on Friday, February 28 to further present French views on the US proposal. At that time, they would transmit a paper which would:

- -- outline French desires to avoid competition in safeguards and implement strong controls over nuclear exports through common export policies;
 - -- define the limits on French participation;

At that time they would like to get our preliminary reactions to their views and receive a status report on US talks wit. other participants.

The Ambassador said that in terms of a final French decision, his government had the following timetable in mind:

1) Discussions on February 28 to present the French paper

PM/NPO: LVNosEdzo/ds the time time and to less 2/24/75 100 1254

Dept: of State, ISS/IPS, Margaret P. Grafeld, Dir. (V) Release () Excise () Deny (V) Declassify Exemption (b)(), E.O. 12958, 25x()()() () Declassify after

With concurrence IPS by NAVE

(ngt)obtaine

ď

HACLASSIFIED

4

- 2) US transmittal of reactions to French paper
- 3) Bilateral talks "at the political level" between the US and France
- 4) After the above France will then take a final position on the suppliers meeting

He stressed that the French government felt there is a need to accomplish these actions rapidly so that the matter can be settled quickly and not be delayed through the process of the talks.

Mr. Ingersoll said that we would be prepared to have the meeting on Friday and that the Ambassador could cable Paris to that effect. Mr. Ingersoll then thanked the Ambassador for his visit.

A question arose in the debriefing of meeting as to what precise level the French had in mind for the follow-on political bilateral talks. Mr. de Bellescize was contacted later at the embassy and said that the Ambassador had transmitted the contents of the instructing cable essentially verbatim. The cable simply talked of a meeting at the political level. De Bellescize said that he would raise the question of level in their reporting cable to Paris.



Suggested Distribution List

D - Mr. Ingersoll C - Mr. Sonnenfeldt PM - Mr. Vest OES - Dr. Ray EUR - Mr. Hartman ACDA - Mr. Ikle ERDA - Mr. Seamans NSC - Dr. Elliott