

March 30, 1972

Telegram from the Japanese Ambassador in Korea to the Minister for Foreign Affairs, 'Observations on the Management of North Korea Issues (I)'

Citation:

"Telegram from the Japanese Ambassador in Korea to the Minister for Foreign Affairs, 'Observations on the Management of North Korea Issues (I)'", March 30, 1972, Wilson Center Digital Archive, Nihon Gaimushō "Kita-Chō mondai" [Japanese Ministry of Foreign Affairs "North Korea issue"] (administrative number 2012-1786), Diplomatic Archives Of The Ministry Of Foreign Affairs Of Japan. Obtained by Kyungwon Choi and translated by Ryo C. Kato. https://wilson-center-digital-archive.dvincitest.com/document/134945

Summary:

The Japanese Ambassador in South Korea considers Japan's policies toward the Korean Peninsula in light of the US-China and Japan-China openings.

Credits:

This document was made possible with support from Kyungnam University

Original Language:

Japanese

Contents:

Original Scan
Translation - English

号) 注意 部の内

1. 本電の取扱いは慎重を期せられたい。

2. 本電の主管変更その他については機関班に 連絡ありたい。

電信写 典房

審長長 電厚計

会営給

16115 月30日09時紀分

北鮮問題処理振りに関する所見(エ)

第455号 極秘 往電第379号に関し

THE

SILAT

先般朝総進系朝鮮人の北鮮訪問の作はおはしなくも日朝接 触に当っての問題点の所在の根梁さ等につき再認識を得る 性る契機となったがるこの機会に日朝コンタグトの特に国 内朝連系分子の北鮮との接触問題につき本使の感じたる所 の何等御参考まで次のとおりか

1の先ずわが国の北鮮接触の増進と中共に対するそれとの 間には本質的差異が存すべきものと思われるか 即ちる中共の場合はその国連加盟により従来の万般の議論 はともあれる今やロジャのミハダは中共側にろばわれるわ が国も台湾との正式国交を止める中共と正式国交を開く方 向に進むべき基本方針を宣明するに至った以上のわが国の 右基本方針に則した中共との諸般のゴンタクト増進に当つ てはる右は半面において必然的は台湾に対するくつうる困 難の増加ないしるその営せいにおいて行なわれることが即 TAIWANIK OF PRICE

外 務 省

及了了了

Original Scan

注 意

1. 本電の取扱いは慎重を期せられたい。

2. 本電の主管変更その他については検閲班に連絡ありたい。

電信写

おいて推進される結果となるがってればれい厳な現実外交のエースとしてわが国ももはや右に不当にかい意する要なくのまた台湾も今や「ふまれてもっけられても」いんにん自重の心構えにあるものと思われる。

このヴィエトナムの場合はやや異るとはいえの今や米国は
ヴィエトナミゼーションの名の下に米国の最少限度の面子
さえたには下後は野となれ」式に撤兵のはらてあり。従って南一越が将来において現在の体質とIDENTITYの
きまて長くVIABLE NATIONとして存続し得る
や否やについては自他ともに疑問の深いところであろう。

3の約高すればのアジアの分裂国家のうちのシナとサイエトナムについてはのいわゆる「東ふう西ふうを圧し」」したかのまた。それに近い事態になるポテンシアリチーを強く包蔵するものなることは否定し難いところであろうの右に対し韓国の場合はその立場はざきの台湾等の場合と全然異つているの韓国成立に関する国連決議やの日韓国はのないの全朝鮮半島に対するMORAL CLAIMは別としての全朝鮮半島に対するMORAL CLAIMは別としての全朝鮮半島に対するMORAL CLAIMは別としての全朝鮮半島に対するMORAL CLAIMは別としての全朝鮮半島に対するMORAL CLAIMは別としての全朝鮮半島に対するMORAL CLAIMは別としての全朝鮮半島に対するMORAL CLAIMは別としての全朝鮮半島に対するMORAL CLAIMは別としての全朝鮮半島に対するMORAL CLAIMは別としてのではないの地位が上つて来てもの右が台湾の場合の如くの韓国の国際的地位をREPLACEするものではなくの事

注 意

- 1. 本電の取扱いは慎重を期せられたい。
- 2. 本電の主管変更その他については検閲班に連絡ありたい。

電信写

態が北鮮にとつて最もうまく運んだ場合といえどものドイ プの如くONE NATION中におけるTWO STA TESとして南北鮮がへい存することを認られるのがおち であるの況んやのその人口の面積の国際社会における地位 等より見ての西ドイツの場合と同じくのむしろ「西ふうが 東ふうを圧する」ボテンシャッチーを有するとすら見得る であろうのかくして北鮮による南鮮の地位のREPLAO 正がないことがはつきりしているの即ちにニジキのミハタ 」が北に行く心配ものまたかかる兆とうも見られない以上 《北による武力ないし暴力革命方式の統旦がない限り命右 「REPLACE」は起り得ないるわが国の北との接触増 進工作は北によるREPLACEのEVETUALITY を念頭に置きつつ南のぎせいにおいて(AT THE O OST OF SOUTH KOREA) これを押進める 必然性も必要性も全然なくのわが国としては何等の後めた さなくのその与国たる韓国の立場に十二分の配慮を払って 処理すべきてありる万が目にも前述の如く事情の全然異な る中国サイエトナムのケースから類推し「次は北鮮も」と きそい合うが如き国内目部のムードに流されることなきよ うから心すべきであろうの朝鮮においては韓国を支持する ととは決して負けうまにかけていることにはならない 4のまた今日北鮮とのゴンタクト増進の名分はこの地域に

注 意

- 1. 本電の取扱いは慎重を期せられたい。
- 2. 本電の主管変更その他については検閲班に連絡ありたい。

電信写

'おける緊張かん和に対するこうけんなるべきところかわが 国として若し朝鮮半島に戦火起るが如き緊張の増ぬウあれ ばかこれとそ最もわが国益に反するととろであり何を措い ても緊張かん和策にぼつ頭すべきであろうが
の現在のとこ ろ韓国に武力北進の実力と自信なくる他方北鮮側も内心は ともかく差し当り円和かぜをふかせおる現状の下にあって わが国の安全保障がINVOLVEされるが如き差し迫っ たSERIOUSな緊張はかとの方面には存在しないと見 得るの然りとせばわが国益の見地からずればの対北鮮融和 措置は現在の巨般的な緊張かん和のふう調にGET ONGして適当につないて行く程度のはら構えてよくか少 くともわが与国たる韓国にしれつなくつうを与えるひいて は日韓の離間を招ねいてまてる自生懸命にいわゆる緊張か ん和外交推進するに値いしないと観念すべきであろうか 5.もつとも基本観念は右の如くとしても国内政情上の顧慮 等より対北鮮融和につきある程度のゼスチャーは止むを得 ざるべきところか右実施に当っては上述の基本観念に照し るかつはその各かん和措置が北鮮に対するFLIRTAT ION行為たる本質を免れていることにかんがみる名実共 に与国たる韓国の立場るとれに与える心理的インパクト等 につき細心の注意を払いつつる実施に移すべき各措置の順 位の実施のペース(ないレインターベル)の及びタイミン 1. 本電の取扱いは慎重を期せられたい。

2. 本電の主管変更その他については検閲班に連絡ありたい。

電信写

び等を決めるよう留意すべくの特に現在韓国はニクソンの ドクトリンの米中ゆきどけ以来「「落日」」にならんとしおる が如き心境にあるときだけにりん国たる盟邦のわが国とし ては一層思いやりのある配意を要しようか(この点米国が北 鮮向けのスポートの発行を / 年間延期したのは他山の石と 高えようる)。

なさったペースないしゃイミングを考える為の若干の標準としては世き十字会談等において韓国も内々試みている対北鮮かん和の水かき外交より日本が大はばにとび離れて独走しか右韓国側の外交措置をOUTSHENEDもしばませないようにとの考慮もかん要たるべくのまた来るべき国連総会における朝鮮問題審議の模様なくら気もにらみ合わせるべき重要データーとなるうか

CTD)

[...]

Honourable Minister of Foreign Affairs | Ambassador Ushiroku

Observations on the Management of North Korea Issues (I)

No. 455 Secret Outbound No. 379

The travels of Chongryon-associated Koreans (Chōsenjin) to North Korea have recently provided an opportunity to acknowledge where the issues lie, and how deep they are, in regards to contact between North Korea and Japan. I take this opportunity to provide for your reference my thoughts on contact between Japan and North Korea, particularly the contact of domestic Chongryon-related elements with North Korea.

Firstly, I believe that there should be a fundamental distinctions be made between our contact with North Korea and our contact with Communist China.

1. That is to say that while there are still the usual arguments in regards to Communist China, they have seized legitimacy through their UN membership. We have ended formal diplomatic relations with Taiwan and proclaimed that it is our basic policy to pursue formal relations with Communist China. Given this, contact with Communist China that follows this basic policy will, on the one hand, necessarily increase pressure and difficulty on Taiwan or be conducted at their expense. In other words, this policy would in effect be pursued "AT THE PRICE OF TAIWAN." At the same time, this is our chosen course of stern and realist diplomacy, and as such we should not continue to take into consideration the injustice of the above. In addition, at this point it appears that Taiwan is prepared to endure heavy burdens.

2. Although the case of Vietnam is slightly different, under the name of Vietnamization, the United States is attempting to maintain the barest minimum in order to save some face. Mirroring the phrase, "après moi le déluge," the troops have been withdrawn leaving no trace. For this reason, it is doubtful to all parties involved whether or not South Vietnam can continue to be a viable nation while also retaining its current qualities and identity.

3. To summarize, among Asia's divided nations, it is difficult to deny that China and Vietnam strongly carry the potential for there to be a situation where "The East Wind Prevails Over the West Wind," or some other similar situations. In contrast with the above, the situation of the Republic of Korea is completely different from that of countries like Taiwan.

Α

Following the UN resolution on the establishment of Republic of Korea and the attitudes taken when Korea was officially recognized by various countries, including the Japan-Republic of Korea Treaty, in terms of international law the Republic of Korea is a state limited to the south of the Peninsula; notwithstanding their moral claim to the entire Korean Peninsula. To put it differently, even if North Korea were to improve its international position in the future, unlike the situation of Taiwan, North Korea would not replace the Republic of Korea's international position. Even if the state of affairs proceeded in the best possible manner for North Korea, it would still result in international recognition of North-South coexistence, like the German 'One Nation, Two States.' Similar to the situation for West Germany, considering the factors, such as the Republic of Korea's population, geographical area, and position in international society, there is more potential for a situation where "The West Wind

Prevails Over the East Wind." It is clear that North Korea will not replace the position of South Korea. In other words, there is no reason to be concerned that the North will grasp legitimacy. As long as this is the case, and barring the North's use of force or unification through violent revolution, the replacement of international positions is an impossibility.

For this reason, our work to enhance contact with the North requires neither concern about an eventuality where the positions of North and South Korea may become replaced, nor concern that such contact is carried out at the expense of the South. We should proceed with ample consideration paid to our ally, the Republic of Korea's position, but without guilt. We should also be prepared to not be swayed by some domestic elements that claim that 'North Korea is next.' They wrongly draw analogies between the Korean Peninsula and the totally dissimilar cases of China and Vietnam. Concerning North Korea, our acceptance of Republic of Korea is certainly not a losing bet.

4. Enhancing our contact with North Korea would contribute towards decreasing tensions in the region. If tensions were to increase such that it risked war on the Korean peninsula, that would present the greatest threat to our national interest, and it would necessitate our complete dedication to decreasing tensions. However, at this time the Republic of Korea has neither the capacity nor confidence to invade the North. Similarly, notwithstanding their true intentions, the North is also subject to an international environment that favors peace. Therefore, it appears that at this time there is an absence of serious and imminent tensions that might concern our national security. From the standpoint of our national security, it is sufficient if our measures to decrease tensions with North Korea simply follows the general trend of detente. We should not pursue, so called, detente so diligently that it causes difficulty for our ally, the Republic of Korea, or that it leads to distancing between Japan and South Korea.

5. Even with the basic understanding as described above, détente with North Korea is more likely than not to be at the level of gesturing, rather than one coming from a deep consideration of domestic politics. Be that as it may, implementing détente with North Korea must reflect the above described basic understanding of the situation and be free of flirtation with North Korea. Furthermore, when we are determining the pace (or interval) and timing of such measures, we must do so while being truly an ally to the Republic of Korea and pay close attention to their position and the possible psychological impacts that détente may have. As a neighboring country, we must be more sympathetic to the Republic of Korea, especially since they are worried that their importance may be waning since the Nixon Doctrine and the thawing of Sino-American relations. (The Unite States decision to defer the issuance of passports for North Korea is an object lesson to this point.)

The Red Cross dialogue may serve as a sort of benchmark for when thinking about the pace and timing. In the Red Cross example, care must be taken as to not outshine and deflate the diplomatic measures of the Republic of Korea by going much deeper than surface level détente vis-à-vis North Korea. This would also provide invaluable data on reading the atmosphere ahead of the upcoming discussion and deliberation on the Korea issue at the UN General Assembly.